



Spire STL Pipeline Project

Resource Report 3
Fish, Wildlife, and Vegetation

FERC Docket No. CP17-____-____

FERC Application
January 2017

Public



RESOURCE REPORT 3 - FISH, WILDLIFE, AND VEGETATION	
SUMMARY OF FILING INFORMATION	
Information	Found in
1. Classify the fishery type of each surface waterbody that would be crossed, including fisheries of special concern - Title 18 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part (§) 380.12(e)(1)	Section 3.1.1, Table 3.1-1, and Section 3.1.2
2. Describe terrestrial and wetland wildlife and habitats that would be affected by the project - 18 CFR § 380.12(e)(2)	Section 3.2.2
3. Describe the major vegetative cover types that would be crossed and provide the acreage of each vegetative cover type that would be affected by construction - 18 CFR § 380.12(e)(3)	Section 3.3, Table 3.3-1
4. Describe the effects of construction and operation procedures on the fishery resources and proposed mitigation measures - 18 CFR § 380.12(e)(4)	Section 3.1.3
5. Evaluate the potential for short term, long term, and permanent impact on the wildlife resources and state-listed endangered or threatened species caused by construction and operation of the project and proposed mitigation measures - 18 CFR § 380.12(e)(4)	Section 3.2.2
6. Identify all federally-listed or proposed endangered or threatened species that potentially occur in the vicinity of the project and discuss the results of the consultations with other agencies. Include survey reports as specified in 18 CFR § 380.12(e)(5)	Section 3.4.1
7. Identify all federally-listed essential fish habitat that potentially occurs in the vicinity of the project and the results of abbreviated consultations with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s National Marine Fisheries Service, and any resulting essential fish habitat assessment - 18 CFR § 380.12(e)(6)	Section 3.1.2



RESOURCE REPORT 3 - FISH, WILDLIFE, AND VEGETATION	
SUMMARY OF FILING INFORMATION	
Information	Found in
8. Describe any significant biological resources that would be affected. Describe impact and any mitigation proposed to avoid or minimize that impact - 18 CFR § 380.12(e)(4,7)	Section 3.4.2
INFORMATION RECOMMENDED OR OFTEN MISSING	
1. Provide copies of correspondence from federal and state fish and wildlife agencies along with responses to their recommendations to avoid or minimize impacts on wildlife, fisheries, and vegetation.	Appendices 1-C
2. Provide a list of significant wildlife habitats crossed by the project. Specify locations by milepost, and include length and width of crossing at each significant wildlife habitat.	Table 3.2-1
3. Provide a description of project-specific measures that would be implemented during construction and operation of the project to avoid or minimize impacts on migratory birds. Include comments from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on the proposed measures.	Section 3.2 and Appendix 1-C
4. For offshore species be sure to include effects of sedimentation, changes to substrate, effects of blasting, etc. This information is needed on a location-specific (i.e., milepost) basis and may require completion of geophysical and other surveys. Results of such surveys and analyses should be included in the application.	Not Applicable



Table of Contents

Fish, Wildlife, and Vegetation 3-1

- 3.1 Fisheries and Other Aquatic Resources..... 3-1
 - 3.1.1 Fishery Classification 3-1
 - 3.1.2 Fisheries of Special Concern..... 3-3
 - 3.1.3 Construction and Operation Impacts..... 3-4
- 3.2 Wildlife 3-8
 - 3.2.1 Existing Resources 3-8
 - 3.2.2 Construction and Operation Impacts..... 3-9
 - 3.2.3 Unique and Sensitive Wildlife and Habitat 3-10
- 3.3 Vegetation 3-15
 - 3.3.1 Existing Resources 3-15
 - 3.3.2 Construction and Operation Impacts..... 3-19
 - 3.3.3 Noxious Weeds and Invasive Species 3-22
- 3.4 Endangered, Threatened, and Special Status Species..... 3-23
 - 3.4.1 Existing Resources 3-23
 - 3.4.2 Construction and Operation Impacts..... 3-36
 - 3.4.3 Agency and Stakeholder Consultation 3-40
- 3.5 References..... 3-40

Tables

- 3.1-1 Representative Fish Species in Waterbodies Crossed by the Project 3-1
- 3.1-2 Fisheries of Special Concern in the Vicinity of the Project..... 3-4
- 3.2-1 Significant Wildlife Habitat Types Affected by Construction and Operation of the Project 3-11
- 3.3-1 Vegetation Communities Affected by Construction and Operation of the Project 3-17
- 3.4-1 State and Federally-Listed Species Potentially Occurring in the Vicinity of the Project 3-24
- 3.4-2 USFWS IPaC Report Birds of Conservation Concern Potentially Occurring in the Vicinity of the Project 3-30



Appendices

- 3-A Noxious Weed/Invasive Plant Control and Mitigation Plan
- 3-B Species Specific Reports for Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Surveys
CONTAINS PRIVILEGED INFORMATION – DO NOT RELEASE
- 3-C Significant Wildlife Habitat Types Affected by Construction and Operation of the Project
CONTAINS PRIVILEGED INFORMATION – DO NOT RELEASE



Acronyms and Abbreviations

ATWS	Additional Temporary Workspaces
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CWF	coldwater fisheries
EcoCAT	Ecological Compliance Assessment Tool
ECOS	Environmental Conservation Online System
EFH	essential fish habitat
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
E&S	erosion and sediment
HDD	horizontal directional drill
IDNR	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
IPaC System	Information, Planning and Consultation System
MDOC	Missouri Department of Conservation
MP	milepost
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
PSS	Palustrine Scrub-Shrub
Plan	FERC's Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan
Procedures	FERC's Wetland and Waterbody Construction and Mitigation Procedures
Project	Spire STL Pipeline Project
RTE species	rare, threatened, or endangered species
Spire	Spire STL Pipeline LLC
TWS	temporary workspace
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
WWF	warmwater fisheries



Fish, Wildlife, and Vegetation

Resource Report 3 presents a description of the fish, wildlife, and vegetation resources present within Spire STL Pipeline LLC’s (“Spire”), proposed Spire STL Pipeline Project (“Project”) located in Scott, Greene, and Jersey Counties, Illinois; and St. Charles and St. Louis Counties, Missouri. The report identifies potential impacts on these resources and methods to avoid and/or minimize potential adverse impacts.

3.1 Fisheries and Other Aquatic Resources

3.1.1 Fishery Classification

Fishery classifications for streams crossed by the Project, are included in Resource Report 2 along with other data concerning specific stream crossings. Table 3.1-1 includes a list of the representative fish species likely to occur within the Project area.

Table 3.1-1. Representative Fish Species in Waterbodies Crossed by the Project

Common Name	Scientific Name	Type
Illinois		
Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Freshwater
Channel catfish	<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	Freshwater
Black crappie	<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i>	Freshwater
Lake Sturgeon	<i>Acipenser fluvescens</i>	Missouri State-Listed Endangered Species; Freshwater ¹
Pallid Sturgeon	<i>Scaphirhynchus albus</i>	Federally-Listed Endangered Species; Freshwater ²
Paddle fish	<i>Polyodon spathula</i>	Freshwater
Freshwater drum	<i>Aplodinotus grunniens</i>	Freshwater
Missouri		
Paddle fish	<i>Polyodon spathula</i>	Freshwater
Lake Sturgeon	<i>Acipenser fluvescens</i>	Missouri State-Listed Endangered Species; Freshwater ¹
Pallid Sturgeon	<i>Scaphirhynchus albus</i>	Federally-Listed Endangered Species; Freshwater ²
Carp species	<i>Mylopharyngodon</i> spp.	Freshwater
Freshwater drum	<i>Aplodinotus grunniens</i>	Freshwater
Shovelnose sturgeon	<i>Scaphirhynchus platyrhynchus</i>	Freshwater



Table 3.1-1. Representative Fish Species in Waterbodies Crossed by the Project (Continued)

Common Name	Scientific Name	Type
Perch species	<i>Sander spp.</i>	Freshwater
Catfish species	<i>Ictalurus spp.</i>	Freshwater
Largemouth Bass	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Freshwater
Black Crappie	<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i>	Freshwater
Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Freshwater

Notes:

- ¹ Missouri Department of Conservation (“MDOC”). 2016a. *Missouri Fishing Interactive Map*.
- ² Illinois Department of Natural Resources (“IDNR”). 2016a. *Lake and River Fishing in Illinois*.

As described in Resource Report 2, field surveys were initiated in September 2016 on accessible properties to identify waterbodies and wetlands within the Project study area. Table 2.2-1 in Resource Report 2 identifies the areas where surveys were not conducted due to denied landowner permissions. In areas where surveys have not been completed due to landowner permissions, Spire has supplemented with desktop data from the National Wetlands Inventory and the National Hydrography Dataset, maintained by the United States Geological Survey. Spire has included field data through November 21, 2016 within its Environmental Report. The Project is anticipated to cross 106 stream segments. Of these waterbodies, 31 were classified as perennial, 34 as intermittent, and 38 as ephemeral.

All waterbodies crossed by the Project are designated as warmwater fisheries (“WWF”) in Illinois and in Missouri. No known wild trout streams, high-quality waters, waterbodies listed as outstanding or exceptional quality, or state or federal wilds and scenic rivers occur within the Project area (IEPA 2016; MDNR 2016 and 2014; and USFWS 2016a). The Project will cross several Section 303(d) waters, including; Apple Creek, Macoupin Creek, and the Mississippi River in Illinois and the Mississippi River and, Missouri River in Missouri along the 24-inch pipeline, and Coldwater Creek in Missouri along the existing Line 880.

State designated areas to fish within the Project area and vicinity include the Mississippi River, Missouri River, and Spanish Lake in Missouri. The Project crosses Pool 26 of the Mississippi River between Lock and Dam 25 and 26. There are no waterbody restrictions for fishing in Pool 26, other than specific areas for fishing are restricted during waterfowl season strictly for hunting purposes (IDNR 2016b). Otherwise, fishing occurs year-round in the Mississippi River (IDNR 2016b, IDNR 2016c).

Common sport fish species that occur within the states regulated fishing areas are included in Table 3.1-1. The classification information with the corresponding milepost (“MP”) per stream crossed by each facility is included on the surface water table in Table 2.2-1 in Resource Report 2.



3.1.2 Fisheries of Special Concern

Fisheries of special concern are defined as waterbodies given special designation by state environmental regulatory agencies as important commercial or recreational fisheries or otherwise protected fishery areas. Based on the National Marine Fisheries Service's ("NMFS") online essential fish habitat ("EFH") mapper tool, there is no EFH within the Project area (NMFS 2016). There are also no national Wild and Scenic Rivers within the Project area (USFWS 2016a).

No streams crossed by the Project are listed on the Missouri 10CSR20.7 Water Quality Standards Table C - Waters Designated for Cold-Water Fisheries (MDNR 2014). Streams listed on the Missouri combined stream spawning season list includes stream reaches which support resident species [e.g., rare, threatened or endangered ("RTE") species or other sensitive species, rainbow trout, rock bass, smallmouth bass, suckers, etc.], or species that migrate into a reach seasonally to spawn (e.g., walleye, white bass, etc.). No streams crossed by the Project within St. Charles or St. Louis County are present on the spawning list and no streams crossed by the Project are designated within the one-mile buffer receiving waters for the listed streams [United States Army Corps of Engineers ("USACE") 2012].

The Project crosses two fisheries of species concern, the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers, which contain federally- and state-listed endangered aquatic species. Based on consultation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") and review of the USFWS Information, Planning and Consultation ("IPaC") System, the Project is within the ranges of the federally endangered Higgins eye pearlymussel (*Lampsilis higginsii*) and pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*). The Higgins eye pearlymussel is an inhabitant of larger rivers where it is usually found in areas with deep water and moderate currents. It can be found locally in the upper Mississippi River (USFWS 2013). According to the USFWS's Environmental Conservation Online System ("ECOS"), Higgins eye pearlymussel is not known to or believed to occur the counties crossed by the Project, but is located approximately 35-miles upstream of the Project area (USFWS 2013, 2016b). Pallid sturgeon are a bottom-oriented, large river obligate fish inhabiting the Mississippi and Missouri rivers and some tributaries. According to ECOS, pallid sturgeons are known to or believed to occur within the area associated with the proposed 24-inch pipeline crossing of the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers in St. Charles and St. Louis counties (USFWS 2016c). However, pallid sturgeons are scarce in the Missouri River downstream of Gavin's Point Dam and scarce in the Mississippi River (USFWS 2016d).

In Illinois, Spire performed a 0.5-mile buffer Project review search utilizing the Illinois Natural Heritage Program Ecological Compliance Assessment Tool ("EcoCAT"). Based on a review of the species list and electronic data received from the Natural Heritage Program, no state-listed aquatic species are anticipated within the Project areas (IDNR 2016d). State listed species are discussed in Section 3.4.

The MDOC's Preliminary Natural Heritage Review Report identified several species and communities of concern that may be crossed, or are within close vicinity to the Project, including pallid sturgeon, lake sturgeon, flathead chubs, and mussel species. These species are associated with the Project's crossing of the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers (MDOC .2016b).



The REX Receipt Station, Laclede/Lange Delivery Station, Redman Delivery Station, and MRT Bi-directional Station were cited in upland areas and are not anticipated to impact fisheries of special concern. Table 3.1-2 details the fisheries of special concern in the Project area.

Table 3.1-2. Fisheries of Special Concern in the Vicinity of the Project

Facility/Waterbody Name	MP	County	State	Fishery Concern
24-Inch Pipeline				
Mississippi River	45.1	Jersey	Illinois	Contains federally-listed and state-listed threatened and endangered species, also is a state fish and wildlife designated area.
	45.4	St. Charles	Missouri	Contains federally-listed and state-listed threatened and endangered species, also is a state fish and wildlife designated area.
Missouri River	58.0	St. Charles	Missouri	Contains federally-listed and state-listed threatened and endangered species.
	58.1	St. Louis	Missouri	Contains federally-listed and state-listed threatened and endangered species.

3.1.3 Construction and Operation Impacts

The Project will employ specific construction techniques in the Project workspaces, to avoid and/or minimize the effects of construction on habitats in and along the streams and downstream of crossing locations. Waterbody crossing methodology is identified and discussed in Resource Report 2. These stream crossings include open-cut techniques, dry ditch crossing methods (flume techniques), and HDD techniques. Minor waterbodies with no discernible flow at the time of construction and a dry ditch crossing method is not specifically required by the Procedures, the waterbody may be crossed using the open-cut/conventional lay method (i.e., bed and bank disturbance with no stream flow bypass equipment installed). For conventional trench crossings, the pipeline will meet the minimum cover requirement of five feet, provided no rock is encountered. No wet open-cut crossings are proposed as part of the Project’s construction activities.

Crossing the streams via dry ditch methods will temporarily affect water flow and quality. In general, construction activities will disturb streambeds and banks as well as increase erosion and sediment (“E&S”) potentials. Increased sedimentation and the disruption of water flow may increase turbidity levels within the stream. Significant increases in turbidity and sedimentation can directly impact fish by altering behavior, such as inability to feed



normally due to decreased visibility, and affect physiology, such as damaging gill structures. However, it is anticipated that individual fish will displace to similar adjacent habitats up or downstream during in-stream construction activities, and thus will not be directly affected by the Project.

In addition, alteration of stream banks and removal of riparian vegetation may affect bank stability resulting in deposition of eroded soils downstream. Riparian vegetation contributes to the shading of rivers and their tributaries. It is a factor in the amount of solar radiation that reaches the water surface, which, in turn, controls the input of heat into the stream system. Installing pipelines near or across waterways, would necessitate the removal of some of the riparian growth. Once this vegetation is removed, the water may be subject to full sunlight exposure, which could cause increases to stream temperature.

Waterbody crossings conducted in open or agricultural areas typically lack an abundance of vegetative cover, and thus an adverse impact on aquatic resources associated with vegetation removal is not anticipated in these areas. In areas with riparian vegetation, Spire will adhere to the measures outlined in FERC's *Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan* ("Plan") and *Wetland and Waterbody Construction and Mitigation Procedures* ("Procedures") as well as other federal and state requirements identified during the permitting process to minimize sedimentation and turbidity (FERC 2013a, 2013b). Once construction is complete, streambeds and banks will be restored to their pre-construction conditions and contours to the maximum extent practicable, which will aid in preventing erosion and minimizing long-term impacts on fisheries. Operation of the pipeline facilities is not anticipated to impact aquatic organisms and their habitats.

To further avoid and minimize the effects on aquatic organisms and their habitats, Spire will adhere to the measures set forth in the FERC Plan and Procedures such as:

- requiring temporary E&S control measures installed and maintained along the construction right-of-way;
- maintaining appropriate water flow downstream of the crossing;
- routinely inspecting construction equipment for leaks and storing fuel and hazardous materials in upland areas at least 100 feet from waterbodies; and
- providing secondary containment when storing hazardous materials or utilizing pumps within 100 feet of a waterbody or wetland;
- responding quickly to leaks and spills by implementing measures outlined in the Project's Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan (Resource Report 2, Appendix 2-A).

Refueling and lubricating of vehicles and/or equipment will occur no closer than 100 feet from a waterbody unless no feasible alternative exists or a greater setback is stipulated by a permitting agency. Spire will also locate additional temporary workspaces ("ATWS") a minimum of 50 feet from waterbody and wetland boundaries (except in areas where the adjacent upland consists of cultivated cropland or other disturbed land) unless a reduced setback is requested on a site-specific basis and a modification is approved in accordance with FERC's Procedures. Proposed exceptions to FERC's Plan and Procedures is provided in Resource Report 1, Appendix 1-F. The Project, as proposed, will result in minimal impacts on fisheries and special status fish species due to the use



of the HDD method for crossing the Mississippi River and the Missouri Rivers, the use of FERC's Plan and Procedures, and adherence to all permit conditions.

No blasting is anticipated at waterbody crossings, however a Blasting Plan was developed for the Project in order to minimize the potential for blasting-related adverse impacts as well as address safety concerns. Locations where blasting is anticipated are identified in Resource Report 6.

Spire is proposing to begin construction prior to June 1, 2018, and is therefore, requesting a variance from FERC's Procedures which recommend construction for warmwater fisheries be completed between June 1 and November 30. Timing restrictions that differ from the FERC Procedures developed in consultation with the applicable state agencies is allowed under Section V of the FERC Procedures. Spire coordinated with the IEPA, MDNR and MDOC to confirm the absence of any regulatory seasonal restrictions that would exist on crossing waterbodies on the Project. The MDNR indicated that the USACE's Nationwide Permit for Missouri indicates a permittee must not excavate from or discharge into the listed waters on the Missouri Combined Stream Spawning List during the specified seasonal restrictions. This list was developed by the MDOC. No streams crossed by the Project within St. Charles or St. Louis Counties, Missouri, are listed on the spawning list and no streams crossed by the Project are designated within the one-mile buffer receiving waters for the listed streams (USACE 2012, Irwin 2016a, 2016b). The IEPA has indicated that they do not have in-stream construction timing restrictions for WWF or coldwater fisheries ("CWF") outside of any regulated RTE species habitat restrictions (Twait 2016a, b, and c). Spire further confirmed with the MDOC that there are no seasonal restrictions associated with the waterbodies crossed by the Project (MDOC 2016c, MDOC 2017). Spire will continue to confirm this information with MDOC and forward any future correspondence to FERC upon receipt. Any aquatic habitat associated with RTE species is associated with the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers and would be avoided by the HDD. Therefore, Spire anticipates that construction can occur at any time of year on the waterbodies crossed by the Project.

Spire will complete a HDD for the Project under potential fish and aquatic habitat in the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers. The HDD will include entry/exit pits located north and south of the rivers. Prior to drilling operations, site-specific HDD Procedures will be prepared by the HDD contractor and submitted to Spire for review and approval. Drilling fluid returns (flow of drilling fluids to the HDD entry/exit location) will be continuously monitored visually during the installation. A traditional single-drill rig operation is anticipated to be used to complete the Missouri River HDD installation. For the Mississippi River, it is anticipated that the HDD contractor will use the drill and intersect method to complete the installation due to the need for temporary conductor casings on each end of the HDD alignment (casings will be removed upon completion of pullback operations). The intersect method involves drilling individual pilot bores from each end of the HDD installation and intersecting in a target intersection location established in the bottom horizontal tangent of the HDD profile. Use of the drill and intersect method decreases the flow pathway length for each individual pilot bore. One advantage of this method is a lower required drilling fluid pressure necessary to complete each pilot bore operation.

Although HDD methods generally avoid impacts on water quality by avoiding impact to the stream bank and bottom, a potential for an inadvertent return of drilling mud may occur. The release could result in additional sediment deposition extending from the discharge point downstream. In the event of an inadvertent release of



drilling mud, impacts on fish and other aquatic resources would be similar to those for the open-cut construction method discussed above. Spire would follow the procedures outlined in its HDD Contingency Plan (Resource Report 2, Appendix 2-B) which will minimize permanent or adverse impacts on fishery or aquatic resources in the event of an inadvertent release of pressurized drilling mud. Spire will also ensure the contractor has sufficient spill containment material and supplies as needed to contain any inadvertent returns. These may include, but are not limited to, pumps and hoses, sand bags, straw bales, silt fence, shovels, buckets, soft bristled brooms, and turbidity curtain. Upon discovery of an inadvertent return, the HDD operation will be immediately suspended until the contingency plan is implemented. If the release cannot be contained, then the HDD Operator will suspend drilling operations until appropriate containment is in place. If the release is mitigated and controlled, forward progress of the drilling will be approved by the Environmental Inspector in coordination with the HDD Superintendent and Chief Inspector. Spire will report any releases along the waterbody banks or within live water to the state emergency response centers and resource agencies.

Spire has conducted geotechnical investigations at the Mississippi and Missouri River crossings to determine the feasibility of conducting an HDD of these rivers. Based on these primary evaluations, the proposed Mississippi River and Missouri River are determined to be feasible with a high probability of successful completion.

The HDD installation on the rivers is anticipated to encounter a sequence of soils consisting of layers of soft to medium stiff clayey silt, loose rock fragments (gravel), medium dense silty sand, and silt overlying bedrock materials consisting of predominantly limestone and shale with various layers of mudstone, siltstone and sandstone. To avoid potential risks associated with loss of drilling fluids through the soft soils identified on either drill location, temporary conductor casing has been incorporated into the design. It is the intent of this casing pipe to be installed from the ground surface and seated into the bedrock below eliminating risks associated with loss of drilling fluids to the soil environment. The bedrock materials observed on both drills are ideally suited for an HDD installation, having rock quality designations characterized as fair to excellent. No zones of poor to very poor rock quality, that can give rise to excess loss of drilling fluids through fracture and joint networks, were observed in any of the boreholes.

To further alleviate concerns associated with the potential loss of drilling fluids to the overlying environments, drilling fluid pressure calculations were completed in accordance with the USACE's "Guidelines for Installation of Utilities Beneath Corps of Engineers Levees Using Horizontal Directional Drilling." In completing this evaluation, conservative strength parameters (deemed to be lower than actual strengths for individual layers) were assigned to replicate the sequence/layering of soil and bedrock materials. A factor of safety of two (2), consistent with that required by USACE was applied to the values calculated based on the cavity expansion values to derive the allowable drilling fluid pressures for this crossing. This allowable drilling fluid pressure was then compared with the drilling fluid pressure required to facilitate the HDD processes. For both river crossings, the allowable drilling fluid pressure was found to be significantly higher than the required drilling fluid pressure for the installation suggesting that hydrofracture or loss of drilling fluids is not anticipated to be an issue with a high degree of certainty for the HDD installations at the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers.



While not anticipated, if an attempted HDD installation is unsuccessful, the proposed HDD alignment could be modified beneath the rivers using the same general location to accommodate an additional HDD attempt, depending on the condition/cause contributing to the original HDD failure. Prior to attempting a second HDD crossing, a risk mitigation workshop shall be held with all parties to determine the cause of the initial failure and any mitigation measures that could be adopted to reduce the risk(s) during the second HDD attempt.

These investigations have been summarized in a Geotechnical Investigation Report, which will be filed with the FERC in January 2017 as Appendix 6-B.

Since Spire is proposing to cross these major rivers via HDD, no in-stream construction or disturbance to the streambed is anticipated at these locations. It is anticipated that an HDD of these waterbodies would avoid potential effects of the Project on these species, therefore, Spire has determined that the Project is not likely to adversely affect these species. Section 3.4 further discusses RTE species.

3.2 Wildlife

Vegetation that typifies major natural habitat types is described in Section 3.3. The existing wildlife resources affected by the Project include resources identified along the 24-inch pipeline construction workspace, the Line 880 workspaces, ATWS, aboveground facilities, and access roads.

Game and nongame-wildlife species are regulated and protected by state and federal agencies such as the USFWS, the IDNR and the MDOC. Regulations such as the Endangered Species Act of 1973, the USFWS Conservation Act of 1980 and the USFWS Conservation Act of 1958 also regulate protected plant and animal species of concern. Additional information on federal and state threatened species can be found in Section 3.4.

The Project area and surrounding vicinity are a combination of upland and waterbody habitats common to the agricultural communities of western Illinois and residential communities surrounding St. Louis, Missouri. A review of pertinent literature and field observations was used to determine the spatial distribution, habitat requirements, and ecological status of wildlife species observed or known to occur in the Project vicinity. The setting and anticipated effects on terrestrial wildlife are described in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2.

3.2.1 Existing Resources

The Project crosses a variety of habitat types commonly found in rural, agricultural, and forested areas of western Illinois and eastern Missouri; the primary land use within the Project area is agricultural land. Other dominant landforms crossed include wooded areas and riverine habitat. Based on correspondence with the USFWS, IDNR, and MDOC, the upper Mississippi River is designated as a state fish and wildlife area and an area for conservation. This area is primarily focused on wetland management, with waterfowl as the primary species of concern.

Certain areas crossed by the Project are reserved for hunting. The wildlife species that inhabit the proposed Project area are typical of those found in the Great Plains habitat. Game species such as white-tailed deer, wild turkey, mourning doves and ring-necked pheasant are present. Resident and migratory waterfowl species (e.g., ducks and sandhill cranes) utilize the Missouri River and surrounding cropland for breeding and migration. Non-



game species such as opossum, cottontail rabbits, various rodents, raccoons, coyotes, red fox and spotted skunk utilize the cropland and riverine habitat as den and foraging locations within the proposed Project area.

Dense grass, shrubs and small trees provide nesting habitat and seed production for a variety of songbirds such as warblers, finches and sparrows. Predatory birds such as red-tail hawks and northern harriers utilize upland meadows for hunting songbirds and small mammals (e.g., cottontail rabbits, voles and shrews). Bald eagles may utilize the Mississippi and Missouri River corridors for fishing and nesting. Several species of snakes, frogs and toads may also be found in general riverine habitat. The Illinois state-listed timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus*) is known to occur within the Principia Hill Prairies West Natural Area Inventory Site. Wildlife species observed during surveys include songbirds, ducks, western meadowlarks, cottontail rabbits, white-tailed deer, and red-tailed hawks.

Spire initiated consultation regarding the Project with the IDNR, MDOC, and USFWS in Illinois and Missouri in August 2016. Information specific to federal and state-listed species is provided in Section 3.4 of this resource report.

3.2.2 Construction and Operation Impacts

Pipeline and aboveground facility construction is expected to have minor, short-term impacts on wildlife habitat, causing localized effects on resident fauna. The Project will result in the loss of approximately 39.48 acres of forested habitat due to construction, with approximately 18.64 acres of forested habitat as a permanent loss due to maintenance/operation of the Project within the 50-foot permanent easement. Temporary work areas will be allowed to revegetate overtime and will not be maintained for the operation of the Project. See Section 3.3.2 for further discussion on forested habitat.

Clearing and grading of the proposed construction workspace for the 24-inch pipeline will result in the temporary loss of vegetative cover and may result in the loss of less mobile fauna, such as small rodents, reptiles, and invertebrates that may be unable to escape the construction area. Temporary noise from the HDD is anticipated for the duration of the drill, but will be mitigated by appropriate sound barriers and mufflers. It is anticipated that most wildlife can relocate to suitable adjacent habitat during construction. Noise from general construction activities are also anticipated to be temporary, however noise from new facilities will be permanent. The new facilities will be located in agricultural areas or otherwise adjacent to or within existing facilities and no new impacts to wildlife are anticipated to occur. After construction, wildlife is expected to return and use the post-construction habitats. Species diversity is expected to remain at or near pre-construction conditions following restoration of the pipeline workspace.

The 24-inch pipeline route was concentrated in areas of agricultural activity with forested cover being typically associated with riparian areas and property lines, therefore forested areas are currently fragmented throughout the Project area and the permanent right-of-way in open areas (e.g., existing right-of-way and agricultural fields) generally will not result in significant fragmentation effects of forested areas. The largest area of contiguous forested tracts along the Project are located along the north and south sides of the Mississippi River. The forest has been previously fragmented by roads and existing right-of-way, therefore Spire intends on aligning the



proposed permanent right-of-way adjacent to the existing pipeline right-of-way (approximately 30 feet) through this area. The offset distance between the two pipelines and the anticipated final width of combined right-of-ways cannot be determined until access to the property is granted and civil survey is able to be completed. The forested area to the south of the Mississippi River consists of the Upper Mississippi Conservation Area and will not be disturbed as the area is encompassed with Spire's HDD of the Mississippi River.

The impacts likely to occur from the construction and operation of the Project are temporal and not expected to be significant given the mobile nature of the wildlife that occur in the area, the availability of similar habitat adjacent to the Project area, and the compatible nature of the restored right-of-way with species occurring in the area. In addition, as the Line 880 modifications are primarily located within existing easement or maintained residential areas, no significant affects to wildlife are anticipated. It is expected that the construction of the Project will have short-term effects to wildlife species. No long-term wildlife impacts are anticipated, as plentiful and suitable wildlife habitat are present adjacent to the proposed Project area.

As stated in Section 3.1, Spire anticipates that the proposed Project will require blasting upon conditions found in the field. A Blasting Plan was developed for the Project. The blasting locations as well as the Blasting Plan are provided in Resource Report 6. Initial clearing and grading of the proposed right-of-way will result in the temporary loss of vegetative cover and may result in the loss of less mobile fauna, such as small rodents, reptiles, and invertebrates that may be unable to escape the construction area. It is anticipated that most wildlife can and will relocate to suitable adjacent habitat during initial construction activities and blasting activities will have minor, short-term impacts on wildlife habitat. After construction, wildlife is expected to return and colonize the post-construction habitats. Species diversity is expected to remain at or near pre-construction conditions following restoration of the pipeline right-of-way.

Unique or sensitive habitat areas, wildlife preserves, or areas of habitats designated for wildlife management within the Project area are presented in Table 3.2-1.

3.2.3 Unique and Sensitive Wildlife and Habitat

Public land, recreation, and other designated areas throughout the Project area were evaluated by utilizing publicly available information, consultations with federal, state, and local agencies and landowners, and field reconnaissance surveys. Based on a review of these data sets, no National Parks, National Wild and Scenic Rivers, or National Wildlife Refuges are crossed by the Project (NPS 2014, USFWS 2016a). Additionally, the Project does not cross and is not located within 0.25-mile of Indian reservations, National Wilderness Areas, or state parks (NPS 2014, IDNR 2016e, and MDNR 2015).

According to the Illinois Natural Heritage Inventory Data received, the Project crosses the Principia Hill Prairie West Illinois Natural Area Inventory Site and Natural Heritage Landmark, which are described as high-quality natural communities with specific suitable habitat for state-listed species, a state dedicated nature preserve, land and water reserve, and a natural heritage landmark (IDNR 2013). The location of this natural inventory site is confidential due to a data agreement between Spire and the IDNR. The location of this site is provided in Volume IV, Appendix 3-C, as Privileged and Confidential.



In Illinois, the Project crosses the Principia Hill Prairie West Illinois Natural Area Inventory Site. In Missouri, the Project is within 0.5-mile of a shrub swamp within Landgrant 1692 and a wet mesic bottomland forest within Landgrant 3281 (MDOC 2016b). Shrub swamps provide habitat for yellow warblers and green herons and wet mesic bottomland forests, provide habitat for gray treefrogs, small-mouthed salamanders, mole salamanders, wood ducks, red-shouldered hawks, and northern parula warblers, cerulean warblers, barred owls, prothonotary warblers, as well as nesting trees for Bald Eagles and great blue herons. These resources are further discussed in Section 3.2.3. The MDOC also indicated that karst features may be present within the Project areas. Karst is a landform where layers of water soluble rock, such as limestone, dolomite, or gypsum are found and is characterized by the presence of features such as sinkholes, underground (or internal) drainage through solution-enlarged fractures (joints), and caves. Many species found in caves are rare and require the specific environmental conditions of caves for breeding and hibernation. Public data was reviewed for Illinois and Missouri for the possibility of karst feature along the proposed Project as described in Resource Report 6, Section 6.4.4. Further discussion on karst formations and how they relate to RTE species can be found in Section 3.4.

Based on review of the natural heritage program for Illinois and Missouri, the following unique wildlife habitats are crossed by the 24-inch pipeline. No unique habitats were identified along the existing Line 880. Construction at the REX Receipt Station and the Laclede/Lange Delivery Station are proposed in predominately agricultural areas, therefore no impacts to unique wildlife habitats are anticipated from the construction of these Projects. Although construction along the existing Line 880, and the Redman Delivery Station, and the MRT Bi-directional Station will be primarily within previously disturbed areas and/or adjacent to existing facilities and are therefore, not anticipated to disturb sensitive wildlife habitats. Table 3.2.1 details the sensitive wildlife habitat types affected by construction and operation of the Project.

Table 3.2-1. Unique Wildlife Habitat Types Affected by Construction and Operation of the Project

MP	Crossing Length (feet)	Crossing Width (feet)	Acreage Affected Construction	Acreage Affected Operation	Habitat Type/Name	Avoidance and Minimization Measures
24-Inch Pipeline						
45.7-46.1	1,737	50	2.00	2.00	Upper Mississippi Conservation Area	This area is encompassed as part of Spire’s HDD of the Mississippi River. No earth disturbance will occur on this property.



Table 3.2-1. Unique Wildlife Habitat Types Affected by Construction and Operation of the Project (Continued)

MP	Crossing Length (feet)	Crossing Width (feet)	Acreage Affected Construction	Acreage Affected Operation	Habitat Type/Name	Avoidance and Minimization Measures
MP 45.4 - MP 46.2	4,282	50 - 365	5.78	5.00	Wet mesic bottomland forest within Landgrant 3281	The Project's HDD of the Mississippi River will intersect Landgrant 3281. However, mesic bottomland forests are anticipated to be avoided by the HDD and no mesic bottomland forests were located within the construction work limits outside of the HDD on the Landgrant. No tree clearing will occur between the HDD entry and exit points.
MP 46.2 - MP 47.5	4,942	25 - 357	16.22	7.68	Shrub Swamp within Landgrant 1692	The Project will intersect Landgrant 1692, however the Project traverses through agricultural fields. No Palustrine Scrub-Shrub ("PSS") wetlands were located within the construction work limits on the Landgrant.

3.2.3.1 Principia Hills Prairies West Illinois Natural Area Inventory Site and Principia Hill Prairies West Natural Heritage Landmark

The details of location of the Principia Hill Prairie West Natural Area and Natural Heritage Landmark are privileged and confidential and an addendum to Table 3.2-1 is provided in Volume IV, Appendix 3-C. The areas support a high-quality loess hill natural community, a natural heritage landmark, and two state species of concern including a population of Groundplum milkvetch (*Astragalus crassicaarpus* var. *trichocalyx*) and timber rattlesnakes. Loess hill prairies, are the most abundant type of prairie in Illinois and occur primarily along the Mississippi River and Illinois River. They are named for their characteristic wind-blown loam soil, which was deposited as the glaciers receded. Two subclasses of loess hill prairies can be recognized: one occurring on loess deposited above bluffs and the other where the loess is deposited just above the floodplains of rivers, mostly on top of mounds of glacial till. The Principia Hill Prairie supports native dry prairie species such as little bluestem, Indian grass, leadplant and purple prairie clover (Robertson et al. 1995). Consultations with the IDNR regarding the Principia Hills Prairies West Illinois Natural Area Inventory Site indicate that this site has no regulatory implications under Illinois law. Spire has located its proposed 24-inch pipeline route adjacent to an existing pipeline right-of-way through this area. Biological surveys have not been conducted in this area due to denied landowner survey permissions. Spire is also continuing to work with the landowner to gain access to conduct necessary biological surveys. Dependent on the results of the biological field surveys, Spire will coordinate with the IDNR to determine the appropriate minimization measures to be employed at this location. Further discussions on these species is provided in



Section 3.4. Further correspondence from the IDNR received after the FERC application will be provided to FERC upon receipt.

3.2.3.2 Upper Mississippi Conservation Area

The Upper Mississippi Conservation Area and is crossed by the 24-inch pipeline between Mile Post (“MP”) 45.7 and MP 46.1. The area is rich in wildlife and habitat diversity and stretches from the Melvin Price Lock and Dam at Alton, Illinois, to LaGrange, Missouri. It is composed of 87 tracts of federal lands totaling over 15,000 acres and managed under a cooperative agreement between the USFWS, the USACE and the MDOC (MDOC 2016d). This property is held in USACE fee title by the USACE St. Louis District. Crossing of this property will require a right-of-way easement (Standard Form 299-Transportation and Utility Systems and Facilities on Federal Lands) with the USACE. Spire is proposing to cross this property as part of its HDD of the Mississippi River. No tree clearing will occur on this property as a result of the construction of the Project.

Public uses of the Upper Mississippi River Conservation Area include; fishing, hunting (waterfowl, deer, squirrel, and turkey), trapping, canoeing, and bird watching. Accumulating silt reduced the productivity of this wetland area, and navigation and recreational boating helped move bottom sediments into backwaters and chutes, reducing the number of wetland habitats. In an effort to balance navigation needs and the need for wildlife habitat, Congress authorized the Environmental Management Program in 1986. This federal program is designed to protect the resources and guide future river management. One of the elements of this program was the construction of Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Projects. Water regulation is now possible depending on river elevations, further improving habitat for fish and wildlife. Many people visit the riverine area to enjoy the outdoors, hunt and hike on the new sediment deflection levee or fish below the water control structures (MDOC 2016d). Spire is submitting an application for an easement to cross this property concurrently with the FERC application for approval to construct the HDD across the property. Spire will continue to coordinate with the USACE and MDOC throughout the permitting process.

3.2.3.3 Landgrant 3281

In preliminary consultations, the MDOC identified wet mesic bottomland forest within 0.5-mile of the 24-inch pipeline (MDOC 2016b). Towering trees and vine lattices characterize mature bottomland forests. In the lowlands bordering a river are forests of cottonwood, willow, ash, elm, sycamore, silver maple and hackberry. Periodic flooding keeps the understory of these riverfront bottomland forests fairly open. They provide habitat for gray treefrogs, red-shouldered hawks, and northern parula warblers, as well as nesting trees for Bald Eagles and great blue herons. On terraces farther from the river, a number of oak species (e.g., pin oak), shellbark hickory and pecan dominate the forest. The understory of these areas contains shrubs such as pawpaw, spicebush and deciduous holly. Sedges often cover the ground. Animal inhabitants of these forests include rare cerulean warblers, barred owls, prothonotary warblers, small-mouthed salamanders, mole salamanders and wood ducks. Bottomland hardwood forests most often are found in the southeast Missouri lowlands (MDOC 2016e).

This habitat is state ranked as S2 which implies that the area is imperiled in the state because of rarity of some factors making it very vulnerable to extirpation from the state. There are no regulatory requirements associated



with this status and no regulatory implications under Missouri law. The 24-inch pipeline crosses Landgrant 3281 within the HDD of the Mississippi River and federal property. A portion of the HDD entry/exit location on the south side of the river are located within the Landgrant but are located in agricultural fields. Therefore, the Project is not crossing any of the wet mesic bottomland forest associated with Landgrant 3281.

3.2.3.4 Landgrant 1692

In preliminary consultation, the MDOC identified a shrub swamp habitat with 0.5-mile of 24-inch pipeline (MDOC 2016b). Buttonbush and short-statured willows dominate these often impenetrable wetland thickets. Shrub swamps provide habitat for yellow warblers and green herons. Shrub swamps often are found in or near marshes, swamps or bottomland forests.

This habitat is state ranked as S2 which implies that the area is imperiled in the state because of rarity of some factors making it very vulnerable to extirpation from the state. There are no regulatory requirements associated with this status and no regulatory implications under Missouri law. The 24-inch pipeline crosses Landgrant 1692 within agricultural fields. Therefore, the Project is not crossing any of the shrub swamp wetlands associated with Landgrant 1692.

3.2.3.5 Construction and Operation Impacts

Although individuals of some wildlife species will be affected by construction of the Project, a significant impact on local wildlife populations or unique habitats is not anticipated. Since the Project will not permanently alter the character of the majority of the available habitats, most Project-related impacts are expected to be temporary and short term.

For the unique habitats identified above, the Project will generally avoid impacts to these high quality habitats through avoidance and HDD. Temporary noise in wildlife areas from the HDDs is anticipated for the duration of the drill, but will be mitigated by appropriate sound barriers and mufflers. Noise effects to wildlife habitat is also later discussed in Section 3.4.

Through information obtained from the Public Land System Survey, Spire will cross Landgrant 3281 via HDD and be located within agricultural fields. No wet mesic bottomland forests were found outside of the HDD alignment. No clearing will occur between the HDD entry and exit locations. Spire does not anticipate adverse effects to wet mesic bottomland forests within Landgrant 3281. Likewise, no shrub swamps were located within the construction or operational right-of-way along the portion of the Project across Landgrant 1692 as this portion of the alignment is located within agricultural areas. Spire consulted with the MDOC regarding these properties and MDOC confirmed that no further coordination regarding the crossing of these Landgrants is required (MDOC 2016c).

Spire conducted an assessment of these potential geologic features within Spire's environmental survey corridor to determine their potential suitability as bat habitat. Field portal searches were conducted concurrently with biological field surveys and no portals were located. Further information regarding karst geological features and how they relate to areas of karst is discussed in Section 3.4.A Karst Mitigation Plan is provided in Resource Report 6, Appendix 6-A and describes the general measures to be implemented by Spire to ensure that correct



measures for construction in karst formations are taken during construction of the Project. Resource Report 2 Section 2.1.3, Groundwater Impacts and Mitigation, and Resource Report 6; Table 6.4-2, also discuss Spire's planned mitigation measures in the event karst features are encountered.

3.3 Vegetation

3.3.1 Existing Resources

Six dominant vegetation cover types will be affected by the Project: agricultural land, open land, forest/woodland, developed land, wetland, and open water. The Line 880 modifications are primarily located within existing easement or maintained residential areas. Table 3.3-1 identifies the vegetation communities affected by construction and operation of the Project. The plant communities observed during field investigations for wetland and streams were recorded on the USACE Wetland Determination Data Form and provided in the Wetland and Stream Investigation Report in Resource Report 2. The identified dominant species at the data points were correlated with the land use classifications as detailed in Resource Report 8 in order to report the dominant species located in the observed habitat communities described below. Identification of the plants during field observation utilized various field manuals including the "Manual of Vascular Plants of Northeastern United States and Adjacent Canada" by Gleason (1991) and Cronquist and "Steiermark's Flora of Missouri" by Julian Steiermark (1999).

3.3.1.1 Agriculture (Cropland)

The majority of the environmental survey area consists of this vegetation type. Cropland includes areas that are regularly cultivated and used to grow row crops. Surveyed areas included cropland that had recently been harvested and fallow or idle areas that appeared to be regularly used to grow crops. Commonly observed crops were corn (*Zea mays*) soybeans (*Glycine max*), and sorghum (*sorghum bicolor*).

3.3.1.2 Open Land

Open land is defined as land that is actively maintained in scrub-shrub herbaceous vegetation and is mainly associated with existing right-of-ways and pasture. Open land throughout the Project area included primarily herbaceous species such as white snakeroot (*Ageratina altissima*), annual ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*), great ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*), common milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*), devil's beggartick (*Bidens frondosa*), asiatic dayflower (*Commelina communis*), orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*), Queen Anne's lace (*Daucus carota*), barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*), Indian goose grass (*Eleusine indica*), Virginia wild rye (*Elymus virginicus*), common boneset (*Eupatorium perfoliatum*), Japanese hop (*Humulus japonicas*), jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*), ivy-leaf morning-glory (*Ipomoea hederacea*), common morning-glory (*Ipomoea purpurea*), white grass (*Leersia virginica*), great blue lobelia (*Lobelia siphilitica*), fall panic grass (*Panicum dichotomiflorum*), reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), American pokeweed (*Phytolacca americana*), Allegheny blackberry (*Rubus allegheniensis*), sawtooth blackberry (*Rubus argutus*), pale dock (*Rumex atissimus*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), tall false rye grass (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*), Japanese bristlegrass (*Setaria faberi*), yellow bristlegrass (*Setaria pumila*), green



bristlegrass (*Setaria viridis*), Canada goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis*), purpletop tridens (*Tridens flavus*), red clover (*Trifolium pretense*), and white clover (*Trifolium repens*).

3.3.1.3 Forest

This vegetation type includes shrubland and forested areas having a predominance of trees that lose their leaves at the end of the frost-free season or at the beginning of a dry season. The forested habitat observed included bottomland forest (riparian forested areas bordering waterbodies). Forest communities observed throughout the Project area included boxelder (*Acer negundo*), silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), trumpet creeper (*Campsis radicans*), hickory (*Carya* spp.), common hackberry (*Celtis occidentalis*), sweet woodreed (*Cinna arundinacea*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), honeylocust (*Gleditsia triacanthos*), black walnut (*Juglans nigra*), Canadian woodnettle (*Laportea canadensis*), Amur honeysuckle (*Lonicera maackii*), osage orange (*Maclura pomifera*), Canadian clearweed (*Pilea pumila*), American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoids*), northern white oak (*Quercus alba*), shingle oak (*Quercus imbricaria*), northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), Shumard's oak (*Quercus shumardii*), brier (*Smilax* spp.), Carolina horsenettle (*Solanum carolinense*), eastern poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*), and stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*).

3.3.1.4 Developed

Developed land includes industrial/commercial lands, roadways, railroads and residential lands. Disturbed areas such as these are typically devoid of undisturbed vegetation or consist of impervious surfaces. Vegetation cover in residential lands generally consists of mowed lawns and landscaped areas. The vegetation present within developed portions of the Project areas included primarily red clover (*Trifolium pretense*), white clover (*Trifolium repens*), crabgrass (*Digitaria sanguinalis*), orchard grass (*Dactylis glomerata*), Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), red fescue (*Festuca rubra*), tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*), and common dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*).

3.3.1.5 Wetland

Wetland includes wetlands classified as palustrine forested, PSS and palustrine emergent. Wetland acreages were based on data collected during the stream and wetland identification surveys that began in September 2016. The vegetation present within wetlands crossed by the Project areas included primarily silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), valley redstem (*Ammannia coccinea*), sedge (*Carex* spp.), southern hackberry (*Celtis laevigata*), barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*), rice cut grass (*Leersia oryzoides*), fall panic grass (*Panicum dichotomiflorum*), water smartweed (*Persicaria amphibia*), marshpepper knotweed (*Persicaria hydropiper*), swamp smartweed (*Persicaria hydropiperoides*), dotted smartweed (*Persicaria punctate*), reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoids*), sandbar willow (*Salix interior*), black willow (*Salix nigra*), smooth hedge nettle (*Stachys tenuifolia*), white paniced American aster (*Symphotrichum lanceolatum*), American elm (*Ulmus americana*), and rough cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*).



Table 3.3-1. Vegetation Communities Affected by Construction and Operation of the Project

Facility ID (County, State) ¹	Agriculture (acres)		Open Land (acres)		Forest (acres)		Developed (acres)		Wetland (acres)		Open Water (acres)		Total Acres	
	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const. ²	Oper. ³
24-Inch Pipeline														
Scott County, Illinois	29.70	16.45	3.53	1.94	4.79	2.76	0.31	0.17	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.06	38.49	21.42
Greene County, Illinois	255.38	142.21	14.38	8.00	6.88	3.70	1.65	0.94	2.74	1.69	0.44	0.29	281.47	156.83
Jersey County, Illinois	143.26	79.63	8.24	5.00	17.28	9.39	0.73	0.45	0.70	0.37	1.89	1.81	172.10	96.66
St. Charles County, Missouri	118.40	65.40	0.85	0.65	1.23	1.13	1.75	0.99	6.49	4.60	4.51	4.44	133.24	77.21
St. Louis County, Missouri	0.05	0.05	0.58	0.33	1.43	0.83	3.64	2.31	0.06	0.04	0.91	0.91	6.67	4.47
Subtotals⁴	546.80	303.73	27.58	15.92	31.60	17.81	8.09	4.86	10.06	6.75	7.86	7.51	631.98	356.58
Line 880														
St. Louis County, Missouri	0.36	0.00	4.14	0.11	1.08	0.15	2.36	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	8.01	0.39
Subtotals⁴	0.36	0.00	4.14	0.11	1.08	0.15	2.36	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	8.01	0.39
Aboveground Facilities¹														
<i>REX Receipt Station</i>														
Scott County, Illinois	3.25	2.04	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.29	2.08
<i>Laclede/Lange Delivery Station</i>														
St. Louis County, Missouri	5.66	3.61	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.34	0.13	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.24	3.99
<i>Redman Delivery Station</i>														
St. Louis County, Missouri	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.07	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.07	0.71
<i>MRT Bi-directional Station</i>														
St. Louis County, Missouri	0.00	0.00	1.34	1.33	1.24	0.22	1.74	1.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.31	3.24
Subtotals⁴	8.91	5.65	1.38	1.37	1.68	0.56	2.94	2.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.91	10.03
Cathodic Protection														
Greene County, Illinois	0.68	0.44	0.39	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.12	0.76
Jersey County, Illinois	0.41	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.27
St. Charles County, Missouri	0.41	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.28
Subtotals⁴	1.50	1.00	0.39	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.95	1.31
Access Roads														
Scott County, Illinois	0.08	0.01	0.78	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.03	0.40



Table 3.3-1. Vegetation Communities Affected by Construction and Operation of the Project (Continued)

Facility ID (County, State) ¹	Agriculture (acres)		Open Land (acres)		Forest (acres)		Developed (acres)		Wetland (acres)		Open Water (acres)		Total Acres	
	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const.	Oper.	Const. ²	Oper. ³
Access Roads (continued)														
Greene County, Illinois	1.27	0.00	2.30	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.73	0.00
Jersey County, Illinois	1.43	0.00	2.88	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.06	0.00
St. Charles County, Missouri	1.81	0.47	1.22	0.90	0.12	0.12	0.80	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.95	2.26
St. Louis County, Missouri	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.13	0.00
Subtotals⁴	4.60	0.48	7.18	1.28	1.03	0.12	3.10	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.90	2.65
ATWS														
Scott County, Illinois	20.11	0.00	1.27	0.00	0.59	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.04	0.00
Greene County, Illinois	83.19	0.00	4.25	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	88.36	0.00
Jersey County, Illinois	44.09	0.00	2.94	0.00	2.66	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	49.77	0.00
St. Charles County, Missouri	54.16	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.52	0.00	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	56.00	0.00
St. Louis County, Missouri	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.14	0.00	1.27	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.66	0.00
Subtotals⁴	201.55	0.00	8.92	0.00	4.10	0.00	2.22	0.00	1.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	217.83	0.00
Contractor Yards/Staging Areas														
Scott County, Illinois	35.90	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.24	0.00
Jersey County, Illinois	0.00	0.00	2.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.83	0.00
St. Charles County, Missouri	2.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.87	0.00
Subtotals⁴	38.78	0.00	3.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	41.95	0.00
Totals^{4, 5}	802.50	310.87	52.75	18.95	39.48	18.64	18.76	8.23	11.11	6.75	7.94	7.51	932.53	370.96
Acreage Affected in Illinois	618.76	241.05	44.15	15.63	33.75	15.85	3.35	1.61	3.51	2.12	2.43	2.16	705.95	278.42
Acreage Affected in Missouri	183.74	69.81	8.60	3.32	5.73	2.79	15.41	6.63	7.60	4.64	5.50	5.36	226.59	92.54

Notes:

- ¹ Impacts associated with mainline valves (“MLVs”) are included in the pipeline facility impacts. Impacts associated with the pig launcher and pig receiver are included in the aboveground facility impacts. Impacts associated with Line 880 are limited to the proposed modifications.
- ² Land affected during construction for the pipeline facilities is comprised of the 50-foot permanent easement, 40 feet of temporary workspace (“TWS”) and ATWS where applicable.
- ³ Land affected during operation of the pipeline includes only the 50-foot permanent easement.
- ⁴ May not equal the sum of the column due to rounding.
- ⁵ Acreages associated with the area between the HDD sites includes the 50-foot permanent easement only. This area is included for both construction and operation, however, no clearing is proposed.



3.3.1.6 Open Water

Open water includes lakes, ponds and waterbodies greater than 10 feet. Four perennial waterbodies crossed by the 24-inch pipeline were identified as greater than 100 feet wide, which include; the Mississippi River, Missouri River, an unnamed tributary to the Mississippi River and Macoupin Creek (Feature ID: SMO-WJW-001 and SIL-TMA-039). Vegetation observed within the floodway and along the banks of the rivers include; boxelder (*Acer negundo*), silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), southern hackberry (*Celtis laevigata*), sweet woodreed (*Cinna arundinacea*), fall panic grass (*Panicum dichotomiflorum*), smartweed species (*Persicaria* spp.), American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoids*), white paniced American aster (*Symphotrichum lanceolatum*), American elm (*Ulmus Americana*), and stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica*).

3.3.2 Construction and Operation Impacts

Existing land use classifications and wetland acreages were based on data collected during the stream and wetland identification surveys that began in September 2016. Field investigations are ongoing, however aerial imagery from ESRI world imagery was utilized to supplement the remaining areas (ESRI 2015). Three dominant vegetation cover types will primarily be affected the 24-inch pipeline construction workspace, ATWS, aboveground facilities, and access roads. Line 880 modifications are primarily located within existing easement or maintained residential areas. The cover types include; agriculture, open land, forested habitat, developed, wetlands, and open water. Table 3.3-1 summarizes the total acreage of each vegetative community anticipated to be impacted during construction and operation of the Project.

Additional information on temporary and permanent impacts from construction and operation of the Project are discussed below and in Resource Report 8.

3.3.2.1 Agriculture

With the exception of areas where permanent aboveground facilities that will be constructed, all agricultural land affected by the Project will be restored to its original use, including the permanent pipeline easement. Agricultural land will be returned to its original contour to maintain pre-construction hydrology. Vegetative impacts to agricultural lands will be seasonal and temporary with the construction of the Project and anticipated to restore during operation of the Project. Spire will negotiate with and reimburse landowners for any damages or loss to their productivity as a result of the construction of the proposed Project. The reimbursement to these landowners will be based on the market prices for the specific crops at the time of easement negotiations with each affected landowner.

3.3.2.2 Open Land

To minimize impacts to land used as pasture, Spire will utilize topsoil conservation measures as further discussed in Resource Report 7. Open land will be returned to its original contour to maintain pre-construction hydrology. Vegetative impacts to open lands will be seasonal and temporary with the construction of the Project and anticipated to restore during operation of the Project.



3.3.2.3 Forest

The forested habitat observed included bottomland forest (riparian forested areas bordering waterbodies). The Project has been designed to minimize the amount of workspace needed to only that which is necessary to safely construct the proposed pipeline facilities, particularly in forested areas. The Project will involve the permanent removal of some upland and wetland forested areas for the permanent easement. These areas will be permanently converted from forested to herbaceous for the operational life of the Project. While construction of the Project would remove forest cover, the amount of forest cover lost as a result of the Project would not significantly reduce the available forest cover relative to adjacent habitats as the majority of the area has already been fragmented by agricultural land.

The largest area of contiguous forested tracts along the north and south sides of the Mississippi River will be colocated with an existing pipeline corridor. Spire intends on aligning the proposed permanent right-of-way adjacent to an existing pipeline right-of-way (approximately 30 feet) through this area. However, the offset distance between the two pipelines and the anticipated final width of combined right-of-ways cannot be determined until access to the property is granted and civil survey is able to be completed.

Spire has sited its proposed HDD entry/exit site on the north side of the Mississippi River. An expanded area of ATWS will be necessary at this location and will be cleared temporarily during construction activities. Spire has sited this workspace adjacent to the existing pipeline right-of-way and a minor existing aboveground facility. Upon the completion of construction, these areas of ATWS will be allowed to revert to pre-existing vegetative cover. The HDD entry/exit site is located on the south side of the Mississippi River. Spire has sited its workspaces within an agricultural field. No clearing of vegetation will occur between the HDD entry and exit points as Spire intends to utilize a gyroscopic guidance system that does not require the installation of a tracer wire along the HDD alignment on the ground surface. No ground disturbance is anticipated for utilizing this guidance system. Spire's HDD contractor and inspectors will complete regular inadvertent return walks throughout the duration of the drill which would require minimal foot traffic along the HDD alignment.

3.3.2.4 Developed

The majority of the roads will be crossed by open-cut methodologies with the exception of large county and state roadways which will be crossed via conventional bore.

The proposed 24-inch pipeline crosses the Kansas City Southern Railroad and the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad. Line 880 crosses the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad but no modifications will be made at this location. Line 880 is existing and the majority of the modification work is occurring within road right-of-ways, with the limited remaining areas being in an approximate 10-foot permanent easement. Other TWS along this portion of the Project would be required during construction and then be allowed to revert to pre-existing conditions. Each railroad will be crossed via conventional bore. The use of conventional bore will avoid impacts on the normal operation of the active railroads during construction and operation of the proposed Project. For safety purposes, Spire will consider the specific requirements of each railroad company when designing and constructing each railroad crossing.



Construction along the 24-inch pipeline is primarily located in agricultural areas and will affect residences temporarily during construction activities. Construction along Line 880 would result in short-term impacts on the adjacent residential areas, including the removal of existing vegetation and landscaping from the workspaces and access areas. Mature trees and landscaping would not be removed from within the edge of the construction work area on Line 880 unless necessary for safe operation of the construction equipment or as specified in landowner agreements. Lawn areas and landscaping would be restored in a sequential manner in accordance with FERC's Plan.

3.3.2.5 Wetland

Wetlands that are open-cut may experience temporary construction impacts that may include loss of herbaceous and scrub-shrub vegetation. Impacts to forested wetlands may include long-term conversion to emergent and/or scrub-shrub wetland types through tree removal. No permanent loss of wetlands are expected to occur from the construction of the Project but functional changes to the wetland community may result. Upon the completion of construction, topsoil, contour elevations and hydrologic patterns will be restored and disturbed areas will be reseeded to promote the re-establishment of native hydrophytic vegetation. TWS and ATWS will be restored to pre-construction grades and contours reseeded. TWS and ATWS areas will not be maintained for operation of the Project and will be allowed to revert to their pre-construction land use and vegetation cover types. Wetlands that are encompassed as part of a HDD are not anticipated to be directly impacted from construction activities and impacts to these features will be avoided.

3.3.2.6 Open Water

The Mississippi River, Missouri River and associated tributaries and wetlands, are proposed to be crossed using HDD. The HDDs will allow for trenchless construction across the waterbodies and will eliminate vegetative clearing activities surrounding the waterbodies. Macoupin Creek is currently proposed as an open-cut crossing and is discussed further in Resource Report 2. Construction impacts include all areas of disturbance, including TWS, permanent easement, ATWS, and access roads. Spire intends to implement the FERC's Procedures as a minimum standard for crossing and restoring waterbodies affected by the Project. Open water impacted during operation will not result in a change of land use designation.

During construction Spire will implement best management practices and adhere to the FERC Procedures to minimize impacts on open water resources and minimize erosion and sediment run off. Construction would result in short-term impacts and all open water areas will be restored to pre-construction conditions.

3.3.2.7 Revegetation Practices

Spire will work in accordance with FERC's Plan, the Agricultural Impact Mitigation Agreement for Illinois, and also in accordance with the landowner's requests.

Inspections will be conducted after the first and second growing seasons. Revegetation in non-agricultural areas shall be considered successful if upon visual survey the density and cover of non-nuisance vegetation are similar in density and cover to adjacent undisturbed lands. In agricultural areas, revegetation shall be considered



successful when upon visual survey, crop growth and vigor are similar to adjacent undisturbed portions of the same field, unless the easement agreement specifies otherwise. Wetland revegetation shall be considered successful if all of the following criteria are satisfied:

- the affected wetland satisfies the current federal definition for a wetland;
- vegetation is at least 80 percent of either the cover documented for the wetland prior to construction, or at least 80 percent of the cover in adjacent wetland areas that were not disturbed by construction;
- if natural rather than active revegetation was used, the plant species composition is consistent with early successional wetland plant communities in the affected ecoregion; and
- invasive species and noxious weeds are absent, unless they are abundant in adjacent areas that were not disturbed by construction.

Routine vegetation mowing or clearing over the full width of the permanent right-of-way in uplands shall not be performed more than every three years. No routine vegetation mowing or clearing will occur between April 15th and August 1st (in accordance with FERC's Plan) of any year unless specifically approved in writing by the responsible land management agency or the USFWS. Vegetation within wetlands and adjacent perennial waterbodies, will be limited to a 10-foot-wide strip centered directly over the pipeline where maintenance clearing of woody vegetation is needed (with selective removal of trees within 15 feet of the pipeline with roots that could compromise the integrity of the pipeline coating). Herbicides or pesticides will not be utilized as maintenance practices along the Project.

Vegetation between the HDD entry/exit locations on the Mississippi River and Missouri River will not be cleared during construction or maintained during operations.

3.3.3 Noxious Weeds and Invasive Species

To avoid and minimize the potential for the introduction of noxious weed and invasive species seeds to new areas in the Project corridor, Spire has prepared a Noxious Weeds/Invasive Plant Control Mitigation Plan, included in Appendix 3-A. Implementation of this plan will avoid and/or minimize adverse effects from noxious and invasive plant species.

State-listed noxious weeds in Illinois include; common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisifolia*), giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*), marijuana (*Cannabis sativa*), milk thistle (*Carduus nutans*), Canada thistle, kudzu (*Pueraria montana*), perennial sowthistle (*Sonchus arvensis*), Columbus grass (*Sorghum almum*), and johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense*).

State-listed noxious weeds in Missouri include; Marijuana (*Cannabis sativa*), Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), Field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*), Common teasel (*Dispacus fullonum*), Purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), Scotch thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*), Kudzu (*Pueraria montana*), Multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), and Johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense*).



3.4 Endangered, Threatened, and Special Status Species

3.4.1 Existing Resources

Spire initiated consultation regarding the Project with the USFWS in June 2016 and held a meeting with the USFWS Rock Island Field office in July 2016. Prior to the initiation of field surveys, Spire reviewed various sources of available data to determine federally-listed and state-listed or protected species that could potentially inhabit or traverse the Project areas. The USFWS IPaC system was utilized to identify the federally-listed species that could potentially inhabit or traverse the Project area in Scott, Greene, and Jersey Counties, Illinois and St. Charles and St. Louis Counties, Missouri. Spire reviewed this list and the information received during consultation with the USFWS to determine the species potentially affected by construction of the Project (USFWS 2016e). Federally-protected species that may occur in the vicinity of the Project are listed in Table 3.4-1.

In order to determine state-listed species which have the potential to occur within the Project area, Spire initiated consultation with the IDNR and MDOC in June 2016. Spire performed a 0.5-mile Project review search through the IDNR's EcoCAT. No Illinois state-listed RTE species were present on the EcoCAT search receipt for Scott and Greene Counties (IDNR 2016d). The state-listed species that have a potential to occur within the Project are presented in Table 3.4-1 and discussed further in this section.

Consultation from the MDOC was received in July 2016 (MDOC 2016b). The MDOC stated that their concerns on state-listed species are limited to those contained on the federal list for aquatic species (MDOC 2016c).

Copies of agency correspondence are included in Resource Report 1, Appendix 1-C.



Table 3.4-1. Federal and State-Listed Species Potentially Occurring in the Vicinity of the Project

Common Name (Scientific Name)	Federal Status	State Status ¹	Project Component	Habitat	Status of Biological Surveys (Status, Anticipated Survey Dates, and Results)	Anticipated Project Impacts
Birds						
Least Tern (<i>Sterna antillarum</i>)	Endangered	Illinois-Endangered ² Missouri-Endangered ²	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri),	Least terns nest on barren to sparsely vegetated sandbars along rivers, sand and gravel pits, lake and reservoir shorelines, and occasionally gravel rooftops.	No surveys to be conducted.	Least terns are likely to nest along the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers within the Project area. Spire anticipates avoiding Least tern nesting habitat by the HDD of the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers, therefore Spire anticipates that the HDD is not likely to adversely affect the species.
Piping Plover (<i>Charadrius melodus</i>)	Threatened	Illinois-Endangered ²	24-inch pipeline (Illinois Missouri),	Piping plovers utilize wide, flat, open, sandy beaches for habitat and often nest along small creeks or wetlands.	No surveys to be conducted.	Piping plovers are likely to nest along the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers within the Project area. Spire anticipates avoiding piping plover nesting habitat by the HDD of the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers, therefore Spire anticipates that the HDD is not likely to adversely affect the species.
Red Knot (<i>Calidris canutus rufa</i>)	Threatened	Illinois-Threatened ²	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri),	Red knots utilize large waterbodies with gravel and/or sandy edges.	No surveys to be conducted.	The species is not likely to breed in the area and may only be present as a transient species seeking out foraging opportunities. Spire anticipates that the Project is not likely to adversely affect the species.
Migratory Bird Species	Protected under the MBTA	-	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri)	Refer to Table 3.4-2.	No surveys to be conducted. Tree clearing restrictions implemented and discussed in Section 3.4.2.3.	Spire has avoided forested areas to the maximum extent practicable. In addition, Spire anticipates that tree clearing would be conducted prior to May 1, 2018 and after August 1, 2018 to avoid the nesting season for the majority of the bird species on the Birds of Conservation Concern list.
Bald eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>)	Protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act	-	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri), Line 880	Bald eagles utilized large, tall trees near rivers or reservoirs and prefer trees which have 1 or two open edges in which they roost or nest in the upper open branches, allowing for easy surveillance for food and accessibility.	Spire will conduct bald eagle nest surveys at 18 locations based on the initial field review of the Project areas. Surveys will be conducted in late January/early February 2017. Spire will provide the results of these surveys to the USFWS, FERC and MDOC in February 2017.	USFWS has indicated that the closest known bald eagle nest is 4 miles from the Project area. If bald eagle nests are identified during the 2017 nest surveys, Spire will consult with the USFWS for further measures.
Aquatics						
Higgins Eye pearl mussel (<i>Lampsilis higginsii</i>)	Endangered	Missouri-Endangered ²	None	Higgins Eye pearl mussel utilize larger rivers where they are usually found in deep water with moderate currents.	No surveys to be conducted.	Species is not known to occur within the immediate Project area, therefore the Project will have no effect on this species.
Pallid sturgeon (<i>Scaphirhynchus albus</i>)	Endangered	Illinois-Endangered ² Missouri-Endangered ²	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri)	Pallid sturgeons are a bottom-oriented, large river obligate fish inhabiting the Mississippi and Missouri rivers and some tributaries. Floodplains, backwaters, chutes, sloughs, islands, sandbars, main channel waters, and are often associated with sandy and fine bottom materials.	No surveys to be conducted.	Range of the species is scarce in the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers. Spire would avoid pallid sturgeons by utilizing HDD techniques to cross the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers, therefore Spire anticipates that the Project is not likely to adversely affect the species.
Lake Sturgeon (<i>Acipenser fulvescens</i>)	-	Missouri-Endangered	24-inch pipeline (Missouri)	Lake sturgeons have been known to inhabit the Missouri River and have also been known to occur in the larger tributaries to the river. They prefer rivers with firm, silt-free bottoms of sand, gravel and rock.	No surveys to be conducted.	Spire anticipates avoiding lake sturgeon habitat by the HDD of the Missouri River, and no other large tributaries of the Missouri will be crossed by the Project therefore Spire anticipates the Project will not impact these species.
Flathead chubs (<i>Platygobio gracilis</i>)	-	Missouri-Endangered	24-inch pipeline (Missouri)	Flathead chubs have been known to inhabit the Missouri River and prefer turbid waters where the current is swift and the bottom is composed of sand and fine gravel. They can also inhabit pools of small creeks with clear water, little current, with coarse gravel and bedrock bottoms.	No surveys to be conducted.	Spire anticipates avoiding flathead chub habitat by the HDD of the Missouri River. Additionally, no small creeks with pools of clear water, little current, with coarse gravel and bedrock bottoms were located along the Project during the biological surveys. Spire anticipates that the Project will not impact these species.



Table 3.4-1. Federal and State-Listed Species Potentially Occurring in the Vicinity of the Project (Continued)

Common Name (Scientific Name)	Federal Status	State Status	Project Component	Habitat	Status of Biological Surveys (Status, Anticipated Survey Dates, and Results)	Anticipated Project Impacts
State-listed mussel species	-	Unknown	24-Inch pipeline (Missouri)	Habitat for state-listed mussel species within the Project area consists of the larger waterbody crossings of the Mississippi River, Missouri River, and Coldwater Creek.	State-listed mussel species are likely to inhabit the Mississippi River and Missouri River. Spire is not planning to perform surveys for mussels. However, Spire will be avoiding the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers through the HDD. As described in Resource Report 2, Coldwater Creek is listed as a metropolitan no-discharge stream and is impaired for aquatic life and water contact recreation. Therefore it is not likely that Coldwater Creek would contain state-listed mussel species	Spire anticipates that the Project will not impact these species.
Mammals						
Indiana bat (<i>Myotis sodalis</i>)	Endangered	Illinois-Endangered Missouri-Endangered	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri), Line 880	Habitat for Indiana bat consists of woodlands, streams, caves, and abandoned mines.	Mist net surveys to determine the presence/absence of listed bats will be conducted between May 15 and August 15, 2017. Results of the mist net surveys will be provided to the USFWS and FERC in July 2017.	Pending the results of mist net surveys.
Northern long-eared bat ³ (<i>Myotis septentrionalis</i>)	Threatened	Illinois-Threatened	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri), Line 880	Habitat for northern long-eared bat consists of woodlands, streams, caves, and abandoned mines.	Mist net surveys to determine the presence/absence of listed bats will be conducted between May 15 and August 15, 2017. Results of the mist net surveys will be provided to the USFWS and FERC in July 2017.	Incidental take of northern long-eared bats resulting from Project development, including Project tree clearing, is not prohibited under Section 9 because the Project design meets the requirements of the final Section 4(d) rule, for the northern long-eared bat. Because incidental take of Northern long-eared bats as a result of Project development is not prohibited, further consultation with the USFWS on effects to the species, under Section 7, will be limited. If northern long-eared bats are captured during the surveys, Spire will request concurrence from USFWS that the Project may affect the Northern long-eared bat, but that any resulting incidental take of the Northern long-eared bat is not prohibited by the final 4(d) rule.
Gray bat (<i>Myotis grisescens</i>)	Endangered	Illinois-Endangered ² Missouri-Endangered ²	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri), Line 880	Habitat for gray bat consists of streams, rivers, lakes, and reservoirs, caves, and abandoned mines	Portal searches were conducted concurrently with the stream and wetland delineation surveys in 2016. Gray bats would not be tracked to diurnal roosts, due to the improbability of detecting bats located in subterranean voids (e.g., caves/mines), and the overall low effect of Project development on gray bats or their habitat.	Because no caves or abandoned mine portals were found during the portal searches, it is unlikely that the Project will affect any roosting or hibernating habitat for the species. In addition, because the Project will minimally affect the other types of habitat utilized by the gray bat, such as for foraging and traveling (i.e. rivers, streams, lakes, and reservoirs), the overall effects of the Project on gray bats are expected to be insignificant and/or discountable and therefore not rise to the level of take, or be extremely unlikely to occur.
Plants						
Decurrent false aster (<i>Boltonia decurrens</i>)	Threatened	Illinois-Threatened ² Missouri-Endangered	24-inch pipeline (Illinois and Missouri)	Habitat for decurrent false aster includes floodplains bordering big rivers, floodplain wetlands, mudflats, borders of lakes marshes and sloughs, old fields, levees, roadsides and agricultural fields with full sun exposure. The species is also found in areas that have periodic disturbance such as periodic flooding, scour, mowing or cultivation to maintain an open environment. The flowering period occurs during late summer into autumn, lasting about one to two months.	One location in Jersey County, Illinois was surveyed as an area of potential suitable habitat. Surveys were conducted in October 2016. No populations of Decurrent false aster were identified. No surveys were conducted in Missouri. Results of this survey is provided in Volume IV, Appendix 3-B.	No populations or suitable habitat were identified in Illinois. One location in Missouri was identified as an area of potential suitable habitat, however, this area will be encompassed as part of Spire's HDD of the Mississippi River and therefore, no surface impacts to this area will occur.
Eastern prairie fringed orchid (<i>Platanthera leucophaea</i>)	Threatened	Illinois-Endangered ² Missouri-Endangered ²	24-inch pipeline (Illinois)	Habitat for eastern-prairie fringed orchid consists of early to mid-successional habitats such as grass and sedge dominated areas including mesic prairies, sedge meadows, bogs and fens with full sun exposure. The species is also found in areas with very low or no disturbance to the substrate, areas with little or no woody vegetation competition. The flowering period occurs in late June through early July and lasts for seven days.	Based on initial biological surveys, Spire determined that three potential habitat locations in Illinois warranted species-specific surveys for Eastern prairie fringed orchid. Surveys will be conducted in June 2017. Results of these surveys will be provided to FERC and the USFWS in July 2017.	If individuals are located during the surveys, Spire will coordinate with the USFWS to determine the appropriate avoidance and/or minimization measures.



Table 3.4-1. Federal and State-Listed Species Potentially Occurring in the Vicinity of the Project (Continued)

Common Name (Scientific Name)	Federal Status	State Status	Project Component	Habitat	Status of Biological Surveys (Status, Anticipated Survey Dates, and Results)	Anticipated Project Impacts
Mead's milkweed (<i>Asclepias meadii</i>)	Threatened	Illinois-Endangered ² Missouri-Endangered ²	24-inch pipeline (Illinois)	Habitat for Mead's milkweed includes dry-mesic to mesic upland tallgrass prairies, barrens, igneous glades and railroad rights-of-way with full sun exposure. The species is also found in areas of late-successional prairie habitats, usually found in undisturbed habitats with high diversity of native vegetation. The flowering period occurs in mid-June.	Based on initial biological surveys, Spire determined that two potential habitat locations in Illinois warranted species-specific surveys for Mead's milkweed. Spire does not currently have landowner permission to survey these areas. Spire will conduct habitat assessment of these areas prior to construction. Results of these surveys will be provided to FERC and the USFWS upon completion.	If individuals are located during the surveys, Spire will coordinate with the USFWS to determine the appropriate avoidance and/or minimization measures.
Running buffalo clover (<i>Trifolium stoloniferum</i>)	Endangered	Missouri-Endangered ²	Line 880	Habitat for running buffalo-clover includes woodlands, savannahs, grazed woodlots, and stream bank terraces in partial to filtered sun exposure. The species is also found in areas that have a regular occurrence of moderate disturbance such as mowing or grazing to maintain an open environment, areas of low competition from exotic or aggressive plant species. The flowering period begins mid-April to June and fruiting occurs from May through July.	Based on initial biological surveys, Spire determined that one potential habitat location in Missouri warranted species-specific surveys for running buffalo clover. Surveys will be conducted in May 2017. Results of these surveys will be provided to FERC and the USFWS in July 2017.	If individuals are located during the surveys, Spire will coordinate with the USFWS to determine the appropriate avoidance and/or minimization measures.
Ground plum milkvetch (<i>Astragalus crassicaarpus</i> var. <i>trichocalyx</i>)	-	Illinois-Endangered	24-inch pipeline (Illinois)	Habitat for ground plum milkvetch consists of dry prairies, glades, open woods and bluff tops with full sun exposure. The species may be found growing within disturbed areas such as roadsides that have a regular occurrence of moderate disturbance such as mowing to maintain an open environment. The flowering period occurs in April through May.	Potential occurrences for ground plum milkvetch were identified in one location in Illinois. Spire will conduct a habitat assessment prior to construction in this area and will work with the landowner to minimize impact to the species if habitat is present.	No Project impacts are anticipated to this species.
Timber Rattlesnake (<i>Crotalus horridus</i>)	-	Illinois-Threatened	24-inch pipeline (Illinois)	Timber rattlesnakes are most commonly found in mature forest in rugged, hilly, sometimes rocky terrain, or along rock bluffs and forest surrounding river corridors or riparian areas. Foraging habitat includes upland forests and disturbed habitats including edges of fields where prey is more abundant. Timber rattlesnakes have been documented at bluffs along the Mississippi River in Illinois and locally within the Principia Hills West Property north of the Mississippi River.	IDNR indicates that timber rattlesnake dens have been located near the Project's proposed construction right-of-way as recent as 2016. Landowner permission on this property has been denied, therefore, surveys cannot be conducted until access is granted. Coordination with the IDNR is ongoing.	It is anticipated that Timber Rattlesnakes are likely to be encountered in this area. Spire is proposing to monitor the right-of-way during construction to remove any snakes that may be present in order to avoid direct take of the species. Spire will pursue Incidental Take Authorization for this species with the IDNR.

Notes:

- ¹ Source for State Species Listings: IDNR 2016i, MDOC 2016e
- ² Indicates that the species was not identified through consultations with either the IDNR or MDOC.
- ³ The northern long-eared bat is not state-listed in Missouri. However, Spire will provide the results of the mist net surveys conducted in 2017 to the MDOC as a courtesy.



3.4.1.1 Birds

Least tern (*Sterna antillarum*), piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*), and red knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*) were identified from the USFWS's IPaC System because of their association with habitat at the Mississippi River and Missouri River crossings, and Line 880's proximity to these rivers. These species are associated with shorelines and river islands.

Least terns and piping plovers are likely to nest along the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers. Spire is not planning to perform surveys for least terns or piping plovers; however Spire has provided additional information to the USFWS detailing how the drilling methods will prevent the possibility of inadvertent returns during drilling. Spire anticipates avoiding nesting habitat by conducting a HDD of the rivers; therefore Spire anticipates that the Project is not likely to adversely affect the species.

The Missouri USFWS IPaC Report for the Project indicated that the red knot may occur within the Project area. The red knot was not identified in the Illinois USFWS IPaC Trust Resources Report. According to the USFWS *Rufa Red Knot Background Information and Threats Assessment* (November 2014; Docket No. FWS-R5-ES-2013-0097), the red knot breeds in the central Canadian Arctic. Red knot breeding habitat is located in drier tundra areas of Alaska and northern Canada and not within the Project area. Red knot arrive on their breeding grounds in late May or early June. Soon after young are hatched in mid-July, female red knot begin to migrate south, with males following about a month later and young soon after; departure from the breeding grounds begins in mid-July and continues through August (USFWS 2014a). Based on a literature review (Baker et al. 2013) and available observation data online (eBird), red knot are not likely to breed within the Project area, but rather are a rare transient in the Project area in Missouri with observations not recorded annually. Within the Project area red knot have been observed within the past 10 years but confined to observations in September during fall migration and located approximately 2.5 miles from the Project along the Mississippi River in Alton Slough. The last and only recorded observation of red knot at Alton Slough in the past 10 years was in 2014 (Byrd 1991).

Although the red knot was identified on the USFWS IPaC Report for Line 880, the portions of the existing Line 880 that will be modified will not be within large waterbodies with gravel and/or sandy edges that would be visited by migrating red knots seeking out foraging opportunities. Spire does not anticipate potential impacts to the red knot as a result of the Project.

3.4.1.2 Bald and Gold Eagle Protection Act

Bald eagles historically occurred throughout North America, with the largest natural area breeding populations in Alaska and Canada, and significant populations in the Great Lakes states, Florida, the Pacific Northwest, the Greater Yellowstone area, and the Chesapeake Bay region (MDNR 2012). Bald eagles are associated with aquatic habitats (coastal areas, rivers, lakes, and reservoirs) with forested shorelines or cliffs in North America (Buehler 2000). Nests are typically located within 1.5 km (one-mile) of foraging habitat (Livingston et al. 1990). The species, therefore, typically forages and nests in the vicinity of large aquatic ecosystems, including lakes, rivers, reservoirs, and wetlands. Adults tend to use the same breeding area, and often the same nest, annually (USFWS 2015a). The



nest is added to yearly and correspondingly, increase in size with age. An eight to ten-year old nest may be six to eight feet deep and about the same in width.

The USFWS Rock Island Field Office has indicated that the closest known bald eagle nest is four miles from the Project alignment (USFWS 2016e). IDNR did not identify any known bald eagle nest locations within the vicinity of the Project. Consultation with the MDOC indicates that there may be an active nest on Slim Island (which is located approximately 0.5-miles from the closest Project HDD location at the Mississippi River), however, MDOC could not verify the location or record of this nest (MDOC 2016f).

The USFWS has recommended that bald eagle surveys be conducted in wooded riparian areas along the Project route. Spire reviewed the Project area utilizing aerial imagery to select locations proposed for bald eagle nest searches. Areas selected for searches were located in wooded riparian corridors where the Project crosses large bodies of water. Nest surveys are anticipated to occur in late January/early February 2017 to coincide with when bald eagles are active in constructing or repairing nests for the upcoming breeding season. Results of these surveys will be provided to the USFWS, FERC and the MDOC in February 2017. If nests are identified during surveys, Spire will coordinate with the USFWS to determine the appropriate construction measures that would need to be implemented as established in the USFWS National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines (USFWS 2007a).

3.4.1.3 Migratory Bird Treaty Act

The USFWS is the principal federal agency charged with protecting and enhancing populations and habitat of migratory bird species under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA, 16 U.S. C. 703-712; Chapter 128; July 13, 1918; 40 Stat. 755, as amended) and Executive Order 13186 (66 CFR 3853), which directs federal agencies to avoid or minimize adverse impacts on migratory birds and to identify where unintentional take is likely to have a measurable negative effect on migratory bird populations. Unlike the Endangered Species Act, neither the MBTA nor its implementing regulations at 50 CFR Part 21, provide for permitting of “incidental take” of migratory birds. While the MBTA has no provisions for allowing unauthorized take, the USFWS recognizes that some birds may be killed during site preparation and construction, even if all reasonable measures to avoid it are implemented. The following discussion identifies Spire’s commitment to reasonable measures to minimize impacts to migratory birds and their habitats.

According to the North American Bird Conservation Initiative, the Project is located within Bird Conservation Region 22 - Eastern Tallgrass Prairie (NABCI 2016). Historically this region was the tallest and lushest grasslands of the Great Plains, but today is dominated by agriculture. Threats to the upland and wetland habitats of this region include urbanization, recreational development, and agricultural expansion (NABCI 2016).

Spire completed a review for MBTA species likely to occur within the Project area by utilizing the USFWS’s IPaC System. These species are provided in Table 3.4-2.

The majority of the migratory birds identified in the USFWS IPaC Reports are known to breed in the Project area; the fox sparrow, rusty blackbird, and short-eared owl are non-breeding species of concern for the region. Excluding the non-breeding species, eight species are known to be ground nesting species and 13 species are tree and/or shrub nesting species in the Project area. Additionally, three of the species that were identified in the IPaC



report are associated with large expansive aquatic habitat systems. The nesting seasons associated with the migratory bird species potential present within the Project areas is general May 1 through July 31. Based on the review of the species potentially present in the Project areas, nesting seasons associated with the species likely present in the Project areas, nesting typically occurs between May 1 and August 1.

The 24-inch pipeline was routed through predominately active agricultural areas and adjacent to existing utility easements to the extent practicable. The Line 880 modifications are primarily located within existing easement or maintained residential areas



Table 3.4-2. USFWS IPaC Report Birds of Conservation Concern Potentially Occurring in the Vicinity of the Project

Common Name (<i>Scientific Name</i>)	Breeds in Region	Aquatic Habitat Association	Ground Nesting	Shrub Nesting	Tree Nesting	Nesting Time Period ³
Acadian Flycatcher (<i>Empidonax vireescens</i>)	X ²	- ¹	-	X	X	June-July
Bald Eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>)	X	-	-	-	X	January - July
Bell's Vireo (<i>Vireo bellii</i>)	X	-	-	X	X	April-July
Black-billed Cuckoo (<i>Coccyzus erythrophthalmus</i>)	X	-	-	-	X	May-September
Black-crowned Night-heron (<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>)	X	X	-	-	X	May-July
Blue-winged Warbler (<i>Vermivora cyanoptera</i>)	X	-	X	-	-	May-June
Cerulean Warbler (<i>Setophaga cerulea</i>)	X	-	-	-	X	May-July
Dickcissel (<i>Spiza americana</i>)	X	-	X	-	-	May-August
Field Sparrow (<i>Spizella pusilla</i>)	X	-	X	-	-	May-July
Fox Sparrow (<i>Passerella iliaca</i>)	-	-	X	X	X	May-July
Henslow's Sparrow (<i>Ammodramus henslowii</i>)	X	-	X	-	-	May-August
Kentucky Warbler (<i>Geothlypis formosa</i>)	X	-	X	-	-	May-August
Least Bittern (<i>Ixobrychus exilis</i>)	X	X	X	-	-	May-August
Loggerhead Shrike (<i>Lanius ludovicianus</i>)	X	-	-	X	X	March-July
Mississippi Kite (<i>Ictinia mississippiensis</i>)	X	-	-	-	X	May-July
Northern Flicker (<i>Colaptes auratus</i>)	X	-	-	-	X	May-July
Peregrine Falcon (<i>Falco peregrinus</i>)	X ⁴	-	-	-	-	March-August
Pied-billed Grebe (<i>Podilymbus podiceps</i>)	X	X	X	-	-	February-November
Prothonotary Warbler (<i>Protonotaria citrea</i>)	X	-	-	-	X	April-July
Red-headed Woodpecker (<i>Melanerpes erythrocephalus</i>)	X	-	-	-	X	May-August
Rusty Blackbird (<i>Euphagus carolinus</i>)	-	X	-	X	X	May-July
Short-eared Owl (<i>Asio flammeus</i>)	-	-	X	-	-	March-June
Willow Flycatcher (<i>Empidonax traillii</i>)	X	-	-	X	X	May-August
Wood Thrush (<i>Hylocichla mustelina</i>)	X	-	-	X	X	May-August
Worm-eating Warbler (<i>Helmitheros vermivorum</i>)	X	-	X	-	-	May-July

Notes:

- ¹ A hyphen (-) denotes that this category is not associated with this species.
- ² An 'X' denotes that this category is associated with this species.
- ³ Buehler 2000.
- ⁴ Species nests on cliffs and human structures.



3.4.1.4 Aquatic Species

As previously discussed in Section 3.1.2, Fisheries of Special Concern, the Project is within the ranges of the Higgins eye pearlymussel (associated with the Mississippi River), pallid sturgeon, Missouri state-listed lake sturgeon, flathead chubs, and state-listed mussel species. Higgins eye pearlymussel is not known to or believed to occur within the counties crossed by the Project but can be found within the Upper Mississippi River (USFWS 2016b). Pallid sturgeons are known to or believed to occur downstream of the 24-inch pipeline crossing of the Mississippi River and within the 24-inch pipeline crossing of the Missouri River (USFWS 2016c). Lake sturgeons and flathead chubs have been known to inhabit the Missouri River (MDOC 2016e).

Spire will cross both the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers utilizing a HDD. Utilizing trenchless crossing methods across these waterbodies will minimize direct in-stream impacts to these species in any areas of suitable habitats along the banks and shores of the rivers; therefore the Project is not likely to adversely affect this species. Spire will also follow the procedures outlined in its HDD Contingency Plan (Resource Report 2, Appendix 2-B) which will minimize permanent or adverse impacts on fishery or aquatic resources in the event of an inadvertent release of pressurized drilling mud.

3.4.1.5 Bat Species

The Project is within the range of three federally-listed bats, including the endangered Indiana bat, endangered gray bat, and threatened northern long-eared bat. Federal and state seasonal tree clearing restrictions prohibit tree clearing between April 1 and October 15 for Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat. The MDOC indicated they would defer to the USFWS determination regarding listed bat species (MDOC 2016c). The IDNR will require continued consultation independent of USFWS determinations.

Throughout the consultation process, the USFWS Rock Island Field Office has recommended performing mist net surveys (USFWS 2016e). Spire will conduct mist net surveys beginning in May 2017. A mist-net study plan will be prepared and submitted to the USFWS Rock Island Field Office for their approval prior to the commencement of any mist net surveys. Spire will submit the results of the surveys to the FERC, USFWS and IDNR in July 2017. Results of the surveys will also be submitted to the MDOC as a courtesy.

Mist net surveys will be conducted in accordance with the latest Range-wide Indiana Bat Survey Protocols; currently the 2016 Range-wide Indiana Bat Summer Survey Guidelines, April 2016 (Guidelines). Due to the linear nature of the Project, mist net surveys will follow protocols required for a linear project in the Ozark-Central Recovery Unit for Indiana bat.

If listed bat species are not captured during mist net surveys, Spire has assumed that the USFWS would not implement tree clearing restrictions for bat species. If listed-bat species are captured, further coordination with the USFWS and IDNR will be required.

Spire anticipates commencing construction in January 2018 provided that federal authorizations and state permits have been received. Tree clearing activities are currently proposed to be initiated in February 2018. In the event that tree clearing cannot be conducted prior to April 1, 2018, and listed bat species are captured during the 2017



mist net surveys, Spire will consult with USFWS and IDNR regarding impact mitigation measures such as avoidance, timing restrictions on clearing, and supplemental surveys to prevent adverse impacts on the species. Spire is proactively working with the USFWS to develop a Biological Assessment in the event that Indiana bats are captured during mist net surveys and tree clearing needs to be conducted after April 1, 2018 (USFWS 2017). Spire does not anticipate clearing trees between May 1 and July 31, 2018 in order to adhere to the Spire's proposed migratory bird seasonal restriction.

Should a Biological Assessment be required, Spire will file its Biological Assessment with FERC who will initiate formal consultation USFWS. Spire would also then coordinate with the IDNR to include this species as part of its Incidental Take Authorization as described in Section 3.4.1.7.

Indiana Bat

The Indiana bat is federally listed and also state-listed in Illinois and Missouri.

The Indiana bat is relatively small, weighing only one-quarter of an ounce, and has a wingspan of 9 to 11 inches. The fur is dark-brown to grayish. Indiana bats hibernate during winter in caves or, occasionally, in abandoned mines. For hibernation, the bats require cool, humid caves with stable temperatures under 50°F but above freezing. Very few caves within the range of the species have these conditions. The hibernacula typically have large volumes of Indiana bats and often have large rooms and vertical or extensive passages (USFWS 2006).

In April and May, Indiana bats begin migrating to their summer roosting sites. When active, Indiana bats roost in dead trees, dying trees, or live trees with exfoliating bark. During the summer months, most reproductive females occupy roost sites that receive direct sunlight for more than half the day. Roost trees generally are found within canopy gaps in a forest, fence line, or along a wooded edge. Maternity roosts are found in riparian zones, bottomland, floodplain habitats, wooded wetlands, and upland communities. Indiana bats forage in semi-open to closed forested habitats, forest edges, and riparian areas (USFWS 2007b).

Agency consultation with regard to the Indiana bat will continue with the USFWS and IDNR upon the completion of mist net surveys.

Northern Long-eared bat

The northern long-eared bat is listed federally and also state-listed in Illinois.

The northern long-eared bat is a medium sized bat with a body length of 3 to 3.7 inches and a wingspan of 9 to 10 inches. Their fur color can be medium to dark brown on the back and tawny brown on the underside (USFWS 2015b). In winter, northern long-eared bats spend winter hibernating in various sized caves or mines with constant temperatures, high humidity, and no air currents. Most often they can be found in small crevices or cracks. During the summer, northern long-eared bats roost singly or in colonies underneath bark, in cavities or in crevices of both live trees and snags (dead trees) (USFWS 2015b). Northern long-eared bats are flexible in selecting roosts and choose trees based on suitability to retain bark or provide cavities or crevices. Northern long-eared bats have also been found rarely roosting in structures, like barns and sheds.



Under Section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act (“ESA”), the USFWS has the discretion to develop prohibitions and exceptions that are tailored to the specific conservation needs of a federally-threatened species, including prohibitions and exceptions to incidental take prohibitions defined in Section 9 of the ESA. In such cases, these regulations may be incorporated into a separate, species-specific, rule under Section 4(d) of ESA, and may be more or less restrictive than the incidental take prohibitions defined in Section 9 of ESA. Accordingly, the USFWS published a final rule for the northern long-eared bat on January 14, 2016, that prohibits incidental take of Northern long-eared bats in portions of the United States affected by White Nose Syndrome (including the Project Area) only when it: 1) occurs within a hibernaculum, 2) results from tree removal activities that occur within 0.25-mile of a known hibernaculum, or 3) results from the removal a known, occupied maternity roost tree or other trees within 150 feet of a known, occupied maternity roost tree during the pup season from June 1st through July 31st. Incidental take of northern long-eared bats that does not occur as a result of any of the actions prohibited by the final 4(d) rule for the species would not require further consultation with the USFWS under Section 7 of the ESA.

The Project will not affect any documented northern long-eared bat hibernacula. Field searches for unknown hibernacula provided no evidence that unknown northern long-eared bat hibernacula exist in the Project Area. Similarly, based on the most recent information provided by the USFWS, the Project is not within 0.25-mile of a known northern long-eared bat hibernaculum, nor is it within 150 feet of a known, occupied maternity roost. Therefore, Incidental take of northern long-eared bats resulting from Project development, including Project tree clearing, is not prohibited under Section 9 because the Project design meets the requirements of the final Section 4(d) rule, for the northern long-eared bat. Because incidental take of northern long-eared bats as a result of Project development is not prohibited, consultation with the USFWS on effects to the species, under Section 7, required will be limited. Mist net surveys to determine the presence/absence of listed bats will be conducted between May 15 and August 15, 2017.

If northern long-eared bats are captured during the surveys, Spire will request concurrence from USFWS that the Project may affect the northern long-eared bat, but that any resulting incidental take of the Northern long-eared bat is not prohibited by the final 4(d) rule.

In Illinois, the IDNR does not recognize the federal 4(d) rule for northern long-eared bats. Therefore, if northern long-eared bats are captured during the mist net surveys, and tree clearing needs to be conducted between April 1 and October 15, 2018, Spire would coordinate with the IDNR to include this species as part of its Incidental Take Authorization as described in Section 3.4.1.7.

Gray Bat

Gray bats differ from other listed bat species in the Project area because they utilize caves and/or abandoned mines year-round for roosting and hibernating, unlike forest-roosting bats, which roost in trees during the summer and hibernate in caves and/or abandoned mines in the winter. Field portal searches were conducted concurrently with biological field surveys and no portals were located. Further discussion on karst formations can be found in Resource Report 6, Geology. Because no caves or abandoned mine portals were found during initial surveys, it is unlikely that the Project will affect any roosting or hibernating habitat for the species. In addition, because the



Project will minimally affect the other types of habitat utilized by the gray bat, such as for foraging and traveling (i.e. rivers, streams, lakes, and reservoirs), the overall effects of the Project on gray bats are expected to be insignificant and/or discountable and therefore not rise to the level of take. Regardless, gray bats could still utilize the Project Area and be captured during mist net surveys. Generally, when gray bats are captured during mist net surveys, they are not radio-tracked to diurnal roosts because, unlike forest-roosting species, it is improbable that a radio-tagged gray bat would be located in an underground roost (where the radio signal cannot be detected from the surface).

3.4.1.6 Plant Species

A review of the USFWS's IPaC System indicated that federal and state listed decurrent false aster, eastern prairie fringed orchid, Mead's milkweed, and running buffalo clover are potentially located within the vicinity of the Project.

Decurrent false aster is typically found bordering big rivers, floodplains and prairie wetlands that experience disturbance. It generally flowers during summer into autumn (USFWS 1990). Consultation with the USFWS in August 2016, indicated decurrent false aster surveys could be limited to Jersey County in Illinois in locations of forested floodplains that are frequently flooded and disturbed (Allred 2016a, b). One location, Otter Creek, was identified for decurrent false aster surveys. Surveys for decurrent false aster were completed in October 2016 and no individuals were located. Due to the sensitivity of data relative to rare species, full results of this survey are provided as privileged information in Volume IV, Appendix 3-B. Although decurrent false aster is listed in the counties crossed by the Project in Missouri, no suitable habitat was identified in Missouri, with the exception of the area south of the Mississippi River (MDOC 2009). Spire will be crossing this area via HDD as part of its crossing of the Mississippi River, therefore, no further surveys in these areas are necessary for decurrent false aster. Spire submitted this report to the USFWS for review and concurrence in January 2017. Future correspondence with the USFWS will be provided to FERC upon receipt.

Eastern prairie fringed orchid is present in mesic prairies and wetlands. It flowers from late June through early July and flowering lasts approximately 7 to 10 days (USFWS 1999). Mead's milkweed is generally found in tallgrass prairies or late successional prairie habitats. It generally flowers in mid-June (USFWS 2003). Running buffalo clover inhabits woodlands, savannahs, stream bank terraces and have can be present in areas with moderate disturbance with low competition (USFWS 2015c). It flowers from mid-April to June.

Based on its initial biological surveys, Spire identified areas of potential habitat for eastern prairie fringed orchid, and Mead's milkweed in certain locations in Illinois. Surveys will be conducted in June for listed plants species in accordance with the flowering period of these species. Results of these surveys will be provided to the USFWS for review and concurrence in July 2017. If any individuals are located during the surveys, Spire will coordinate with the USFWS. Landowner permission has not been granted in the location where surveys for Mead's milkweed are proposed. Once survey permission has been granted, Spire will conduct a habitat assessment to determine if suitable habitat for Mead's milkweed is present in the Project area and further coordinate with the USFWS.



Spire has identified one area of potential habitat for running buffalo clover in Missouri. Surveys will be conducted in May 2017 for this species in accordance with the flowering period. Results of these surveys will be provided to the USFWS for review and concurrence in July 2017.

Data from the Illinois Natural Heritage Program indicated that the Project could be in close proximity to a recorded population of groundplum milkvetch (*Astragalus crassicaarpus* var. *trichocalyx*), an Illinois state-listed species, at one location in Jersey County, Illinois. Groundplum milkvetch generally flowers April through May (Mohlenbrock 2014). Initial biological surveys on this property have not been conducted due to landowner permissions. Once access has been granted, Spire will conduct a habitat assessment in the Project area to determine if potential suitable habitat for this species is present within the Project's construction right-of-way. If this species is found to be present within the Project area, Spire will further coordinate with the landowner in accordance with the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act (520 ILCS 10) (IGA 2016).

3.4.1.7 Reptiles

Timber rattlesnakes are most commonly found in mature forest in rugged, hilly, sometimes rocky terrain, or along rock bluffs and forest surrounding river corridors or riparian areas. According to the Illinois Natural History Survey ("INHS") associated with the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's Prairie Research Institute, timber rattlesnakes are active from April to October and are known to sun on rock ledges near dens (INHS 2016). Foraging habitat includes upland forests and disturbed habitats including edges of fields where prey is more abundant (INHS 2016; Brannan 2015a; Brannan 2015b).

Timber rattlesnakes have been documented at bluffs along the Mississippi River in Illinois and locally within the Principia Hills West Property north of the Mississippi River. IDNR provided Spire with Principia's timber rattlesnake data, identifying one active den east and adjacent to the existing ammonia pipeline right-of-way and Spire's proposed 24-inch pipeline right-of-way (IDNR 2016g).

Based on consultation with the IDNR, timber rattlesnakes could potentially occur in one location crossed by the Project on the north side of the Mississippi River in Jersey County, Illinois (IDNR 2016f). Recent survey data (from 2016) obtained from the IDNR, indicates the presence of timber rattlesnakes within the vicinity of the Project's crossing of The Principia's West Farm campus (IDNR 2016g). Initial biological surveys have not been conducted on this property because landowner survey permission has not been obtained. Spire is continuing to work with the landowner in this area.

Under the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act (520 ILCS 10), it is unlawful to harm, hunt, shoot, pursue, lure, wound, kill, destroy, harass, gig, spear, ensnare, trap, capture, collect, or to attempt to engage in such conduct with federal or state-listed species (ILGA 2016). The IDNR may authorize, under prescribed terms and conditions, any taking otherwise prohibited if that taking is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activities. As such, Spire intends to coordinate with the IDNR to develop a Conservation Plan to obtain Incidental Take Authorization for timber rattlesnakes at this location (IDNR 2016h).



3.4.1.8 Communities of Concern

Information on the communities of concern can be found in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.3.

3.4.2 Construction and Operation Impacts

Below is a discussion related to potential construction and operation-related impacts to endangered and threatened species and migratory birds.

3.4.2.1 Federally-listed Bird Species

The trenchless crossings of the Mississippi River and Missouri River would avoid and/or minimize the potential effects of the Project on the river shorelines and island habitat that federally-listed birds may utilize. Spire has prepared an HDD Contingency Plan related to inadvertent returns (Resource Report 2, Appendix 2-B). Furthermore, Line 880 does not cross large waterbodies containing habitat for these species. Accordingly, the Project is not likely to adversely affect these species.

3.4.2.2 Bald and Gold Eagle Protection Act

No records of known nests are located on or within 660 feet of the Project's construction right-of-way. Based on recommendations from the USFWS, Spire will conduct surveys at wooded riparian areas crossed by the Project to confirm the presence/absence of bald eagle nests in late January/early February 2017. Upon completion of the bald eagle nest surveys, Spire will provide a report of findings to the USFWS and FERC in February 2017.

3.4.2.3 Migratory Bird Treaty Act

Displacement of individuals and the loss or conversion of habitat from operation activities poses the greatest risk of impact to migratory birds. In December 2016, the USFWS indicated that loss of habitat associated with right of way clearing and habitat fragmentation are detrimental to migratory birds in landscapes heavily dominated by agriculture, urban sprawl, or other land use practices that restrict and fragment habitat. In order to minimize these risks, Spire implemented the following avoidance and minimization measures:

- Spire has routed its pipeline such that it avoids trees to the extent practicable by routing the majority of the 24-inch pipeline through agricultural fields;
- Spire has limited its construction right-of-way to the minimal width needed within wooded areas to safely construct and operate the proposed facilities;
- Other than tree clearing for the right of way on the north side of the Mississippi River, no interior forests are crossed by the Project. However, the proposed location of the 24-inch pipeline across the Mississippi River will parallel an existing pipeline right-of-way, therefore minimizing new fragmentation to an otherwise relatively undisturbed tract of interior forest habitat;
- Spire has maximized the use of existing rights-of-ways by collocating the route (approximately one-third of the 24-inch pipeline is colocated) with an existing linear easement as to further reduce impacts to the forest or other land uses in the Mississippi River Valley;



- Line 880 modifications are primarily located within existing easement or maintained residential areas and construction activities are not anticipated to impact migratory bird species; and
- The majority of the 24-inch pipeline route traverses agricultural lands that will be disturbed by spring agricultural prepping activities.

Based on a review of the tree and/or shrub nesting species, the majority of these species have a nesting time period (i.e. eggs or young in the nest) from May through July. In order to protect nesting migratory birds, Spire would not clear trees for construction between May 1 and August 1, 2018. Spire anticipates commencing construction in January 2018 provided that federal authorizations and state permits have been received. Tree clearing activities are currently proposed to be conducted between February 1 and May 1, 2018 (assuming these clearing dates also meet the allowable timeframes for listed bat species pending ongoing agency consultations). Some bird species, such as the loggerhead shrike, begin their nesting season earlier in the year, whereas some species may have active nests into August or September, such as black-billed cuckoo, red-headed woodpecker, willow flycatcher, and wood thrush. Should impacts to loggerhead shrike occur through the removal of shrubs and/or trees prior to May 1, those individuals are likely to re-nest in suitable undisturbed adjacent habitat with sufficient time remaining in the breeding season to not only raise one brood, but multiple broods (Yosef 1996). For those species that may have active nests into August and September, impacts to those nesting species are not anticipated as tree clearing activities for the Project are anticipated to be completed prior to the start of their nesting season in May.

Kentucky warbler and worm-eating warbler are ground nesting species in wooded habitat and therefore are not anticipated to be impacted by the Project as the principle habitat they occupy (trees and shrubs) is proposed to be disturbed through the removal of vegetation prior to May 1, which is the beginning of their active nesting time period. Other ground nesting species, such as the blue-winged warbler, dickcissel, field sparrow, and Henslow's sparrow nest in areas dominated by grasses, with the exception of blue-winged warbler which nests in herbaceous vegetation within edge and scrub-shrub habitat. Habitat for the blue-winged warbler can be assumed to be present over a majority of the Project where edges between wooded and open areas exist, therefore it can be anticipated that most areas where blue-winged warbler would nest would be disturbed during tree clearing activities proposed to be completed prior to May 1, which would coincide with the beginning of their nesting season in May. As for the other ground nesting species that occupy open herbaceous land (i.e. dickcissel, field sparrow, and Henslow's sparrow), this nesting habitat is anticipated to be disturbed prior to their breeding season commencing in May through a) spring agricultural field preparation by private landowners, and b) the use of the construction right-of-way by contractor vehicles and construction equipment. As a result of tree clearing activities occurring prior to nests being occupied with eggs and/or young, these species may already be displaced from the Project during or prior to territory establishment and pair bonding and therefore would find suitable nesting sites in adjacent undisturbed habitat. Nonetheless, should impacts to these ground nesting species occur during Project construction activities the amount of open, non-cultivated land crossed by the Project is minimal and scattered throughout the Project. Therefore, should nesting dickcissel, field sparrow, and Henslow's sparrow be impacted during construction, the number of nesting individuals impacted is likely to be minimal and not concentrated to a



single location to have a negative impact to the regional reproductive output for that species during the year of Project construction.

Lastly, species that primarily occupy aquatic habitat, such as black-crowned night-heron, least bittern, and pied-billed grebe are not anticipated to be impacted by the Project as large expansive wetland and/or aquatic habitats are not anticipated to be impacted by the Project. Although the Project crosses the Upper Mississippi Conservation Area on the south side of the Mississippi River, no tree clearing or surface disturbance within that area is proposed. Additional information regarding the Upper Mississippi Conservation Area is located in Resource Report 8.

Although Line 880 modifications are proposed to commence in June 2018, Project activities are primarily located within existing easement or maintained residential areas. Construction activities are not anticipated to impact migratory bird species to the degree to which the regional breeding success of these species would be compromised if nests were to be disturbed.

From a habitat perspective, the proposed Project is not anticipated to significantly increase habitat fragmentation. The largest tract of forest crossed by the Project is located on the northern side of the Mississippi River. This area is fragmented by the presence of an existing pipeline right-of-way and roadways. In order to minimize impacts to this area, Spire has routed its pipeline adjacent to the existing pipeline corridor. Colocating the proposed 24-inch pipeline with the existing pipeline right-of-way minimizes impacts to this natural resource while still meeting the purpose and need of the Project.

Habitat loss for the Project will primarily be confined to where wooded areas will be cleared for construction and operation. Approximately 5% of the total area required for construction is considered forest and will be cleared. Furthermore, impacts to forest are scattered throughout the proposed 59 miles of the 24-inch pipeline which equates to less than 1 acre per mile of tree clearing for the Project. Furthermore, approximately 21 acres of forest clearing will be allowed to revert back to forest, whereas only approximately 19 acres of forest will be a permanent loss, which is only approximately 5% of the area required for operation of the Project.

Impacts to habitats used by the three non-breeding species of concern for the region (fox sparrow, rusty blackbird, and short-eared owl) are not anticipated following completion of the Project as large or concentrated areas of grassland habitat are not proposed to be converted to other land uses and large expanses of aquatic habitat will not be impacted. Habitat loss for the other grassland species of conservation concern that may breed in the Project area is also not anticipated as almost all of the impacted grassland and/or agricultural related habitat will be allowed to revert back to prior land use following construction.

Spire will minimize operational impacts to nesting birds of conservation concern by completing routine vegetation mowing or clearing over the full width of the operational right-of-way in uplands outside of the migratory bird nesting season of April 15th through August 1st as prescribed in FERC's Plan.

In summary, the implementation of the avoidance and minimization measures described herein as well as the abundance of suitable and similar habitat adjacent to the Project are anticipated to alleviate the potential for direct impacts to nesting adults and their young, regional population-level impacts, and the habitat of birds of



conservation concern. Significantly measurable negative impacts on migratory birds and their habitats are not anticipated as a result of construction and operation of the Project.

3.4.2.4 Aquatic Species

Spire is proposing to cross the Mississippi and Missouri Rivers via HDD, therefore no in-stream construction or disturbance to the stream bed is anticipated at these locations. Although successful HDD methods do avoid impacts on water quality by avoiding impact to the stream bank and bottom, a potential for an inadvertent return of drilling mud does exist. The return could result in a release of drilling fluids and a subsequent plume extending from the discharge point downstream. Depending on the type and volume of material released, indirect impacts on fish and habitat could be experienced. To minimize these potential affects, Spire would implements its HDD Contingency Plan provided in Resource Report 2, Appendix 2-B and subsequently consult with the USFWS in the event of an inadvertent return.

It is anticipated that HDD of these waterbodies would avoid potential effects of the Project on the federal- and state-listed aquatic species, therefore, the Project is not likely to adversely affect these species.

3.4.2.5 Bat Species

Spire is continuing coordination with the USFWS related to the potential presence of the Indiana bat, northern long-eared bat, and gray bat within the Project area. Spire anticipates conducting mist net surveys in 2017 and will further coordinate with USFWS, IDNR, and MDOC regarding bat species. Further correspondence with these agencies received after the FERC application will be provided to FERC upon receipt.

3.4.2.6 Plant Species

One location was identified for potential habitat for the decurrent false aster in Jersey County, Illinois. No individuals or suitable habitat were identified at this location. No further surveys are necessary for decurrent false aster due to the absence of suitable habitat through the Project areas.

Spire will conduct species-specific surveys for eastern prairie fringed orchid, Mead's milkweed and running buffalo clover in May and June 2017 in accordance with the flowering period of these species. If any individuals are located during the surveys, Spire would attempt to avoid direct impacts to the species. Results of these surveys will be provided to the USFWS for review and concurrence in July 2017.

Landowner permission has not been granted in the location where surveys for Mead's milkweed and the groundplum milkvetch are proposed. Once survey permission has been granted, Spire will conduct a habitat assessment to determine if suitable habitat for these species is present in the Project areas. The results of the surveys will be provided to the IDNR upon receipt.

3.4.2.7 Reptiles

It is anticipated that timber rattlesnakes are likely to be encountered within the Project area north of the Mississippi River. Spire is working with IDNR to develop a Conservation Plan as part of the incidental take permit



process. Spire will monitor the right-of-way during construction to remove any snakes that may be present in order to avoid direct take of the species.

3.4.2.8 Communities of Concern

Impacts to communities of concern were previously discussed in Section 3.2.2 and 3.2.3. No impacts to communities of concern are anticipated from construction and operation of the Project.

3.4.3 Agency and Stakeholder Consultation

In August 2016, Spire initiated consultation with the USFWS Rock Island Office, IDNR, and MDOC. Agency correspondence received to date has been included in Resource Report 1, Appendix 1-C. Further correspondence with the agencies received after the FERC application will be provided to the FERC upon receipt.

3.5 References

- Allred, Chase. 2016a. Email from Mr. Chase Allred of the United Fish and Wildlife Service to Ms. Jayme Fuller of GAI Consultants, Inc. on August 2, 2016.
- Allred, Chase. 2016b. Email from Mr. Chase Allred of the United Fish and Wildlife Service to Ms. Jayme Fuller of GAI Consultants, Inc. on August 8, 2016.
- Baker, Allan, Patricia Gonzalez, R. I. G. Morrison and Brian A. Harrington. (2013). Red Knot (*Calidris canutus*), The Birds of North America (P. G. Rodewald, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/redkno> DOI: 10.2173/bna.563.
- Brannan, Dan. 2015a. Principia biology chair, students study habits of timber rattlesnakes from local bluffs. Article by Content Director of RiverBender.com. Available online at <https://www.riverbender.com/articles/details/principia-biology-chair-students-study-habits-of-timber-rattlesnakes-from-local-bluffs-9017.cfm#.WFLz100zWHt>.
- Brannan, Dan. 2015b. Timber Rattlesnakes: Principia College tracks considerable amount of local species along Mississippi River bluffs. Article by Content Director of RiverBender.com. Available online at <https://www.riverbender.com/articles/details/timber-rattlesnakes-principia-college-tracks-considerable-amount-of-local-species-along-mississippi-river-bluffs-9236.cfm#.WFL7KE0zWHu>.
- Buehler, D. A. 2000. Bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucephalus*). In The Birds of North America, No. 506 (A. Poole and F. Gill, eds.). The Birds of North American, Inc., Philadelphia, PA. Available at: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/baleag/introduction>.
- Byrd, Mitchell A. and David W. Johnston. 1991. Birds. In Virginia's Endangered Species, ed. K. Terwilliger, pp. 477-537. Blacksburg: The McDonald and Wood Publishing Company. eBird Basic Dataset. Version: EBD_relMay-2013. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York. May 2013.
- ESRI World Imagery and Transportation, NAIP, United States Department of Agriculture FSA. 2015. Accessed October 2016.



- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. 2013a. *Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan*. Washington, D.C. 18pp. Accessed September 2016 from <https://www.ferc.gov/industries/gas/enviro/plan.pdf>.
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. 2013b. *Wetland and Waterbody Construction and Mitigation Procedures*. Washington, D.C. 20 pp. Accessed September 2016 from <https://www.ferc.gov/industries/gas/enviro/procedures.pdf>.
- Gleason, Henry A. and Arthur Cronquist. 1991. *Manual of Vascular Plants of Northeastern United States and Adjacent Canada*. New York Botanical Garden. Bronx, New York.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016a. *Illinois Fishing Rivers*. Accessed September 2016 from <http://www.ifishillinois.org/profiles/rivers.html>. Accessed September 2016.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016b. Mississippi River – State Fish and Wildlife Area. Accessed October 2016/ from <http://dnr.state.il.us/lands/landmgt/PARKS/R4/Miss.htm>.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016c. Illinois Fishing Information. Accessed December 2016 from <https://www.dnr.illinois.gov/fishing/Documents/IllinoisFishingInformation.pdf>
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016d. Ecological Compliance Assessment Tool. Accessed October 2016 from <http://dnr.illinois.gov/ecopublic/>.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016e. Parks – West Central Illinois. Accessed December 2016 from <https://www.dnr.illinois.gov/Parks/Pages/WestCentral.aspx>.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016f. Email communication with Mr. Pat Malone of IDNR and Ms. Jayme Fuller of GAI Consultants, Inc. on October 26, 2016.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016g. Email from Tara Kieninger and Ali Trunzo of GAI Consultants, Inc. on January 5, 2017.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016h. Phone conversation with Jenny Skufka and Brent Krebs and Ms. Jayme Fuller, Lori Ferry and Jason Duffey of GAI Consultants, Inc. on December 19, 2016.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2016i. *Illinois Endangered and Threatened Animals and Plants. By County Endangered Species Protection Board*. Accessed October 2016 from https://www.dnr.illinois.gov/ESPB/Documents/ET_by_County.pdf.
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2013. Illinois Natural Area Inventory Sites. Accessed December 2016, Available at <https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/references/public/IL/2013-ILNaturalAreasInventorysites.pdf>.
- Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. 2016. Water Use Designations and Site-Specific Water Quality Standards. Accessed September 2016 from <ftp://www.ilga.gov/JCAR/AdminCode/035/03500303sections.html>.
- Illinois General Assembly. 2016. Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act. Accessed January 2017 from <http://ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs3.asp?ActID=1730&ChapterID=43>.



Illinois Natural History Survey, Prairie Research Institute. 2016. *Crotalus horridus* Linnaeus, 1758 -- Timber Rattlesnake. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Accessed December 2016 from http://www.inhs.illinois.edu/collections/herps/data/ilspecies/cr_horridu/.

Irwin, Mike. 2016. Email from Missouri Department of Natural Resources and Erin Matthews of GAI Consultants, Inc. on December 09, 2016.

Irwin, Mike. 2016b. Email from Missouri Department of Natural Resources and Erin Matthews of GAI Consultants, Inc. on December 15, 2016.

Livingston, S. A., C. S. Todd, W. B. Krohn, and R. B. Owen Jr. 1990. Habitat models for nest bald eagles in Maine. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 54(4):644-653.

Missouri Department of Conservation. 2017. Email received from Audrey Beres, MDOC to Jayme Fuller of GAI Consultants, Inc. on January 17, 2017.

Missouri Department of Conservation. 2016a. Missouri Fishing Interactive Map. Accessed September 2016 from <http://mdcgis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=aa720aaaf06b49269b355b5a6e049d28>.

Missouri Department of Conservation. 2016b. Email from Ms. Audrey Beres, Missouri Department of Conservation Policy Coordinator to Ms. Jayme Fuller of GAI Consultants, Inc. on July 6, 2016.

Missouri Department of Conservation. 2016c. Phone conversation with Audrey Beres and Ms. Jayme Fuller of GAI Consultants, Inc. on January 10, 2017.

Missouri Department of Conservation. 2016d. Upper Mississippi River Conservation Area Management Plan. Accessed January 2016 from https://mdc.mo.gov/sites/default/files/area_plans/2016_upper_mississippi_conservation_area_plan.pdf.

Missouri Department of Conservation. 2016e. *Species and Communities of Conservation Concern*. Accessed September 2016 from <http://mdcgis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/Viewer/index.html?appid=90fa4db152ec4190bcc1dee12a524538>.

Missouri Department of Conservation. 2016f. Email to Ms. Janet Haslerig, Bald Eagle Specialist, from Ms. Jayme Fuller of GAI Consultants, Inc. on December 5, 2016.

Missouri Department of Conservation. 2009. Best Management Practice MDOC. Decurrent False Aster. Accessed December 2016 from <https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/references/public/MO/DecurrentFalseAster.pdf>

Missouri Department of Natural Resources. 2014. *Water Quality*. Accessed September 2016 from <https://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/wqstandards/index.html>

Missouri Department of Natural Resources. 2015. Missouri State Parks. Accessed December 2016 from <https://mostateparks.com/find-a-park?region=5>.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources. 2016. *Water Resources*. Accessed September 2016 from <http://dnr.mo.gov/geology/wrc/index.html>.



- Missouri Department of Natural Resources. 2012 The Bald Eagle in Missouri. Publication E00466. Available at: <https://nature.mdc.mo.gov/sites/default/files/resources/2010/03/baldeaglemo2012.pdf>.
- Mohlenbrock, Robert H. Vascular Flora of Illinois: A Field Guide, Fourth Edition. Southern Illinois University. 2014
- National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Habitat Conservation Habitat Protection Division. 2016. EFH Mapper Tool. Accessed September 2016 from <http://www.habitat.noaa.gov/protection/efh/efhmapper/>.
- North American Bird Conservation Initiative. 2016. Bird Conservation Regions. Available at: <http://nabci-us.org/resources/bird-conservation-regions-map/#bcr22>.
- Robertson, Kenneth R., Mark W. Schwartz, Jeffrey W. Olson, Brian K. Dunphy, and H. David Clarke. 1995. *50 Years of Change in Illinois Hill Prairies*. Illinois Natural History Survey. Accessed September 2016 from <http://www.inhs.uiuc.edu/people/kenr/hillprairie/>.
- Steyermark, Julian A., and George Alfred Yatskievych. 1999. *Steyermark's Flora of Missouri*. Jefferson City, Mo: Missouri Dept. of Conservation in cooperation with Missouri Botanical Garden Press.
- Twait, Scott. 2016a. Phone call and email with Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and Ms. Erin Matthews of GAI Consultant, Inc. on December 9, 2016.
- Twait, Scott. 2016b. Email from Illinois Environmental Protection Agency on December 9, 2016.
- Twait, Scott. 2016c. Phone call with Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and Ms. Erin Matthews of GAI Consultants, Inc. on September 28, 2016.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 2012. *Missouri Combined Stream Spawning Season*. Accessed September 2016 from <http://www.nwk.usace.army.mil/Portals/29/docs/regulatory/nationwidepermits/2012/SpawningList.pdf>.
- United States Department of the Interior- National Park Service. 2014.. Accessed October 2016 from <http://www.nps.gov/index.htm>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2017. Phone conference call with FERC, USFWS and Spire on January 3, 2017.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016a. National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. Accessed September 2016 from <https://www.rivers.gov/map.php>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016b. Environmental Conservation Online System - Species Profile for Higgins eye. Accessed October 2016 from <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp0/profile/speciesProfile.action?sPCODE=F009>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016c. Environmental Conservation Online System - Species Profile for Pallid Sturgeon. Accessed October 2016 <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp0/profile/speciesProfile.action?sPCODE=E06X>.



- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016d. Pallid Sturgeon Fact Sheet. Accessed January 2017 from https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/fishes/PallidSturgeon/palld_fc.html.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2016e. Rock Island Field Office. Response to rare, threatened, and endangered species. December 8, 2016.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015a. Bald Eagle Natural History and Sensitivity to Human Activity Information. Available at: https://www.fws.gov/midwest/eagle/conservation/baea_nhstry_snstvtvty.html.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015b. Northern long-eared bat Fact Sheet. Accessed January 2017 <https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleb/nlebFactSheet.html>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015c. Running buffalo clover (*Trifolium stoloniferum*) Fact Sheet. Accessed January 2017 from <https://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/plants/runningb.html>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014a. Rufa Red Knot Background Information and Threats Assessment. Docket No. FWS-R5-ES-2013-0097. Accessed December 2016 from https://www.fws.gov/northeast/redknot/pdf/20141125_REKN_FL_supplemental_doc_FINAL.pdf.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2013. *Information, Planning, and Consultation System*. Accessed September 2016 from <http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2007a. National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines. Accessed October 2016 from <https://www.fws.gov/southdakotafieldoffice/NationalBaldEagleManagementGuidelines.pdf>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2007b. Indiana Bat (*Myotis sodalis*) Draft Recovery Plan: First Revision. April 2007.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. Indiana Bat Fact Sheet. Accessed January 2017 from <https://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/mammals/inba/inbafactsht.html>.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003. Mead's milkweed (*Asclepias meadii*) Recovery Plan. Accessed September 2016 from <https://www.fws.gov/midwest/Endangered/plants/pdf/meads-fnl-rp.pdf>.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. Eastern prairie fringed orchid (*Platanthera leucophaea*) Recovery Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fort Snelling, MN.
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service. 1990. Decurrent False Aster Recovery Plan. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Twin Cities, MN. 26 pp.
- Yosef, R. 1996. Loggerhead Shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*), *The Birds of North America* (P. G. Rodewald, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Available at: <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/logshr>.



APPENDIX 3-A

Noxious Weed/Invasive Plant Control and Mitigation Plan



Spire STL Pipeline Project

Noxious Weeds/Invasive Plant
Species Control and Mitigation Plan

FERC Docket No. CP17-____-____

January 2017

Public



Table of Contents

Noxious Weeds/Invasive Plant Species Control and Mitigation Plan.....	1
1.1 Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species	1
1.2 Control and Mitigation Plan.....	1
1.3 References	3

Attachments

Attachment A Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species List

Attachment B Noxious Weed Locations



Acronyms and Abbreviations

BMP	Best Management Practices
EI	Environmental Inspector
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Plan	FERC's Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan
Project	Spire STL Pipeline Project
Spire	Spire STL Pipeline LLC
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture



Noxious Weeds/Invasive Plant Species Control and Mitigation Plan

This Noxious Weeds/Invasive Plant Species Control and Mitigation Plan describes the general control measures to be implemented by Spire STL Pipeline LLC (“Spire”) and its contractors during construction and post-construction activities of the Spire STL Pipeline Project (“Project”). Where deemed appropriate and feasible, measures identified within this plan will be applied to work areas during construction and post-construction activities to avoid and/or minimize the spread of existing noxious weeds or invasive plant species within the Project’s permanent easement.

1.1 Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species

Botanists familiar with the vegetative community types and noxious weeds potentially occurring within the Project area developed a list of noxious and invasive species for survey purposes based on the Illinois Noxious Weed Law and the Missouri Noxious Weed List (Illinois Administrative Code 2002; and Missouri Department of Agriculture 2011), In addition, species on the United States Department of Agriculture’s (“USDA”) Introduced, Invasive and Noxious Plants Federal Noxious Weed List was reviewed for additional species that have the potential to occur in the Project area. A list of potential noxious and invasive species reviewed for the Project area is provide in Attachment A (USDA 2013).

Baseline noxious weed and invasive plant species surveys (“Surveys”) were performed concurrently during the biological field surveys by qualified environmental specialists. Surveys were performed by walking the ground within the Project’s survey corridor and access roads in a systematic sequence to ensure optimal coverage and identification of invasive plant species as listed on the Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species List. For each distinct occurrence of an invasive plant species observed within the Project corridor, Global Positioning System data points were collected to document the specific location of the invasive plant species occurrence. For each data point collected, the plant species name plus a general identifier for the general level of infestation was recorded. Weed infestation levels were generalized into low (single plants), moderate (small cluster of invasive species), and high categories (widespread infestation). At certain locations, multiple data points were taken if more than one noxious weed species was observed and distributed within distinct areas of close proximity. The locations and extent of noxious weeds identified during baseline surveys is provided in Attachment B.

1.2 Control and Mitigation Plan

During construction, exposed topsoil may provide for the recruitment of invasive species, and the potential exists for equipment to bring in seeds to non-infested areas. In order to counteract the potential for the introduction and/or spread of noxious weeds and invasive plant species listed in Attachment A. Spire, in conjunction with recommendations from the USDA’s Conservation Program in Scott, Greene, and Jersey Counties, Illinois and in St. Charles and St. Louis County, Missouri, has developed best management practices (“BMPs”) that will be



implemented on the Project during construction (Behner 2016), (Fuller 2016), (Muenks 2016), (Perkins 2016), and (Wamsley 2016).

1. Prior to the start of Project construction activities, Spire will provide contractors and environmental inspector's ("EIs") maps that depict the location and level of infestation for plant species occurrences identified within the Noxious Weed Surveys.
2. Adhere to erosion control measures in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's ("FERC") *Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan* ("Plan") and FERC's *Wetland and Waterbody Construction and Mitigation Procedures* to ensure that sediment movement into newly disturbed soils are minimized to avoid the potential of invasive plant species seed distribution.
3. Use construction techniques along the pipeline route that minimize the time that bare soil is exposed and, therefore, minimize the opportunity for exotic species to become established.
4. Ensure all vehicles, equipment and materials are inspected and cleaned of any visible vegetation and/or soil before entering or leaving areas of known noxious weed infestations.
5. All disturbed areas will be reseeded promptly after final grading, weather and soil conditions permitting, and in consideration of written recommendations from the local soil conservation authorities. Prompt reseeding will ensure that any bare soil within the Project corridor is not available for exotic or invasive species for an extended period of time providing the opportunity for the establishment of plant species listed on the Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species List.
6. As described in the FERC Plan, mulch if available, consisting of a local sources or certified weed-free straw or hay or other erosion-control materials will be used during constructions activities and installation of permanent erosion control measures.
7. During active construct activities and until the Project right-of-way is successfully revegetated as outlined in FERC's Plan, Spire will require that all EIs to inspect the Project right-of-way for any new growth of plant species listed on the Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species List. If new areas of growth are observed, Spire will coordinate with landowners and applicable agencies to address concerns.

It may not be possible to eradicate invasive species in the Project area because of such issues as seed drift or colonization from off-site locations. Therefore, Spire's overall goal is to control the invasive species to the extent that wetlands and uplands are not dominated by the invasive species to the point where the functions and values of the systems/habitats are adversely compromised. Spire has included the use of BMPs to control the transport of invasive species from areas where they may currently occur along the Project route. Measures, such as training personnel in the identification of invasive species, inspecting and cleaning equipment, and practices to encourage rapid stabilization, restoration, and revegetation of disturbed work areas, have been incorporated to minimize adverse impacts resulting from the presence of invasive species.

Spire has provided a copy of this plan as a courtesy to the USDA's Conservation Program representatives in Illinois per request.



1.3 References

- Behner, Bradley. 2016. Phone conversations with USDA-NRCS Greene and Jersey County Field Office District Conservationist and Erin Matthews of GAI Consultants, Inc. on October 3, 2016.
- Fuller, Johanna. 2016. Phone conversations with USDA-NRCS Scott County Field Office District Conservationist and Erin Matthews of GAI Consultants, Inc. on September 30, 2016.
- Illinois Administrative Code. State of Illinois. 2002. *Illinois noxious weed law*. October 20, 2003.
- Missouri Department of Agriculture. 2011. Missouri Revised Statutes and Rules for Noxious Weeds. Available online at <http://agriculture.mo.gov/plants/ipm/noxiousweeds.php>. Accessed September 2016.
- Muenks, Nathan. 2016. Phone conversations with MDOC and Erin Matthews of GAI Consultants, Inc. on September 30, 2016.
- Perkins, Charles. 2016. Phone conversations with MDNR Soil and Water Conservation District and Erin Matthews of GAI Consultants, Inc. on September 30, 2016.
- United States Department of Agriculture. 2013b. *Introduced, Invasive and Noxious Plants - Federal and State*. Available online at <http://plants.usda.gov/java/noxiousDriver#state>. Accessed September 2016.
- Wamsley, Collin. 2016. Phone conversations with USDA-NRCS St. Louis and St Charles County Field Office District Conservationist and Erin Matthews of GAI Consultants, Inc. on September 30, 2016.



ATTACHMENT A
Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species List



Attachment A. Noxious Weeds and Invasive Plant Species List

Scientific Name	Common Name
Federal Species Known to Occur in Illinois or Missouri	
<i>Heracleum mantegazzianum</i>	Giant Hogweed
<i>Nassella trichotoma</i>	Serrated tussock
<i>Orobanche</i>	Broomrape
<i>Ottelia alismoides</i>	Ducklettuce
<i>Cuscuta</i>	Dodder
Illinois State List	
<i>Ambrosia artemisifolia</i>	Common ragweed
<i>Ambrosia trifida</i>	Giant ragweed
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Marijuana
<i>Carduus nutans</i>	Milk Thistle
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Canada thistle
<i>Pueraria montana</i>	Kudzu
<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	Perennial sowthistle
<i>Sorghum almum</i>	Columbus grass
<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	Johnsongrass
Missouri State List	
<i>Cannabis sativa</i>	Marijuana
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Canada thistle
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	Field bindweed
<i>Dispacus fullonum</i>	Common teasel
<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	Purple loosestrife
<i>Onopordum acanthium</i>	Scotch thistle
<i>Pueraria montana</i>	Kudzu
<i>Rosa multiflora</i>	Multiflora rose
<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	Johnsongrass



ATTACHMENT B
Noxious Weed Locations



Attachment B. Noxious Weed Locations

Milepost/ County, State	Feature I.D.	Species	Cover
24-Inch Pipeline			
<i>Scott County, Illinois</i>			
0.9	NOX-TMA-001	Giant ragweed (<i>Ambrosia trifida</i>)	Low
1.0	NOX-TMA-002	Giant ragweed	Low
1.0	NOX-TMA-003	Giant ragweed	Low
1.0	NOX-TMA-004	Giant ragweed	Low
2.0	NOX-TMA-005	Ambrosia spp.	Low
2.4	NOX-TMA-006	Giant ragweed	Low
2.4	NOX-TMA-007	Giant ragweed	Low
2.6	NOX-TMA-008	Common ragweed (<i>Ambrosia artemisiifolia</i>)	Low
2.7	NOX-TMA-009	Sorghum halepense	Low
3.1	NOX-TMA-010	Common ragweed	Moderate
3.4	NOX-TMA-011	Giant ragweed	Low
<i>Greene County, Illinois</i>			
3.6	NOX-TMA-013	Common ragweed	Moderate
3.7	NOX-TMA-014	Ragweed spp.	Moderate
3.7	NOX-TMA-015	Ragweed spp.	Moderate
3.8	NOX-TMA-016	Common ragweed	Moderate
4.2	NOX-TMA-017	Common ragweed	Low
4.5	NOX-TMA-019	Ragweed spp.	Moderate
5.8	NOX-TMA-020	Common ragweed	Low
5.8	NOX-TMA-021	Ragweed spp.	Low
6.4	NOX-TMA-022	Ragweed spp.	Low
8.6	NOX-TMA-025	Giant ragweed	Moderate
12.0	NOX-TMA-023	Giant ragweed	Low
11.5	NOX-TMA-024	Giant ragweed	High
14.1	NOX-TMA-026	Giant ragweed	Moderate
15.0	NOX-TMA-027	Giant ragweed	Low
15.6	NOX-TMA-028	Giant ragweed	Low
19.5	NOX-TMA-029	Giant ragweed	Moderate
25.3	NOX-TMA-033	Giant ragweed	High



Attachment B. Noxious Weed Locations (Continued)

Milepost/ County, State	Feature I.D.	Species	Cover
24-Inch Pipeline (continued)			
<i>Greene County, Illinois (continued)</i>			
26.1	NOX-TMA-031	Giant ragweed	High
26.1	NOX-TMA-032	Giant ragweed	Moderate
27.6	NOX-TMA-030	Giant ragweed	Moderate
<i>Jersey County, Illinois</i>			
35.5	NOX-CDK-011	Johnsongrass (<i>Sorghum halepense</i>)	Low
35.9	NOX-CDK-009	Johnsongrass	High
36.3	NOX-CDK-008	<i>Giant ragweed</i>	Moderate
36.4	NOX-CDK-010	Johnsongrass	Low
Line 880			
<i>St. Louis County, Missouri</i>			
4.9	NOX-DFW-001	Canada thistle (<i>Cirsium arvense</i>)	Low



APPENDIX 3-B

Species Specific Reports for Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Surveys

CONTAINS PRIVILEGED INFORMATION – DO NOT RELEASE

(UNDER SEPARATE COVER)



APPENDIX 3-C

Unique Wildlife Habitat Types Affected by Construction and Operation of the Project

CONTAINS PRIVILEGED INFORMATION – DO NOT RELEASE

(UNDER SEPARATE COVER)